**Syllabus** 



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

**(Deemed to be University)** (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

17MMP304

MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

Semester – III L T P C 4 0 0 4

**Scope:** On successful completion of this course the learner gains knowledge about the concept of probability, moments, sampling theory, significance tests, the theory of estimation and hypothesis testing etc with exact mathematical treatment.

**Objectives:** To understand the probability generating functions, sample moments and their functions, sampling, significance tests, estimation, hypothesis testing and ANOVA.

**UNIT I:** Probability: Random Events – Preliminary remarks – random events and operations performed on them – the system of axioms of the theory of probability – conditional probability – Bayes theorem – Independent Events –functions of random variables – Introduction to hypothesis testing, type of errors, standard errors, confidence interval, confidence limits. Significance level.

**UNIT II:** Sample moments and their functions: Notion of a sample and a statistic - Distribution functions of X, S 2 and (X, S 2) -Chi-square distribution -Student t-distribution -Fisher's Z-distribution -Snedecor's F -distribution -Distribution of sample mean from non-normal populations.

**UNIT III:** Significance test: Concept of a statistical test -Parametric tests for small samples and large samples Chi-square test -Kolmogorov Theorem-Smirnov Theorem-Tests of Kolmogorov and Smirnov type The Wald-Wolfovitz and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests -Independence Tests by contingency tables.

**UNIT IV:** Estimation: Preliminary notion -Consistency estimation -Unbiased estimates -Sufficiency - Efficiency -Asymptotically most efficient estimates -methods of finding estimates -confidence Interval.

**UNIT V:** Analysis of Variance: One way classification and two-way classification. Hypotheses Testing: Poser functions -OC function-Most Powerful test -Uniformly most powerful test -unbiased test.

#### SUGGESTED READINGS TEXT BOOK

1. Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

#### REFERENCES

1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi. Master of Science, Mathematics, 2017.

2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.

3. Heinz Bauer, (1996), Probability Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.

4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.

# **Lecture Plan**



## KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION (Deemed to be University) (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

<b>Subject : Mathematical Statistics</b>
Subject Code : 17MMP304

Semester III	LTPC
Class : II M.Sc Mathematics	4004

		UNIT-I							
S.No	Lecture Duration (Hr)	Topics to be Covered	Support Materials						
1	1	Basic Probability Concepts, Random events,	R5:Chap.5; Pg. No. 5.4-5.14						
2	1	Random events and Operations performed on them	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 58-60						
3	1	The system of Axioms of the theory of probability	R5:Chap.5; Pg. No. 5.16-5.17						
4	1	Conditional Probability and Bayes theorem	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 57-58, 84						
5	1	Independent events and functions of random variables	T1:Chap.5; Pg.No.104-108						
6	1	Introduction to hypothesis testing	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 292-293						
7	1	Type of errors and standard error	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 294-296						
8	1	About Normal Distribution, Normal curve and its properties,	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 248-251						
9	1	Confidence interval, confidence limits and Significance level	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 252-256						
10	1	Recapitulation and discussion on important questions							
T	otal 10 hrs								

UNIT-II						
S.No	Lecture Duration (Hr)	Topics to be Covered	Support Materials			
1	1	Sample moments and their functions: Notion of a sample and a statistic	R1: Chap16,Pg.No.210-211			
2	1	Distribution functions of X, $S^2$ and (X, $S^2$ )	T1:Chap.6; Pg.No.130-132			
3	1	Chi-square distribution, its properties and applications	R1:Chap.9; Pg. No. 196-198			
4	1	Students t-Distribution and its properties	R6:Chap.5; Pg. No. 292-296			
5	1	Fishzers Z-distribution and its properties	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 368-372			
6	1	Snedecor's F-distribution of Sample mean from non-normal population Its properties and problems	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 379-378			
7	1	Recapitulation and discussion on important questions				
ſ	<b>Total 7 hrs</b>					

UNIT-III							
S.No	Lecture Duration (Hr)	Topics to be Covered	Support Materials				
1	1	Significance test: Concept of a statistical test, difference between Parametric and non- Parametric tests	R5:Chap.12; Pg. No. 12.1- 12.2				
2	1	Parametric tests for small samples and large samples	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.37- 10.38				
3	1	Problems on small sample test (t – test)	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.38- 10.40				
4	1	Problems on large sample test (Z – test)	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.41- 10.45				
5	1	Chi-square test with illustration	R5:Ch.10; Pg.No.10.62-68, R3:Ch.11; Pg. No.70-72				
6	1	More problems on Chi-square test	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No.				
7	1	Kolmogorov Theorem-Smirnov Theorem-	R5:Chap.12; Pg. No. 12.10- 12.11				
8	1	Tests based on Kolmogorov and Smirnov	R5:Chap.12; Pg. No. 12.11- 12.12				
9	1	Problems on The Wald-Wolfovitz tesst	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 741-753				
10	1	Problems on Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 744-750				
11	1	Independence Tests by contingency tables.	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 752-755				
12	1	Recapitulation and discussion on important questions					
T	otal 12 hrs						

UNIT-IV							
S.No	Lecture Duration (Hr)	Topics to be Covered	Support Materials				
1	1	Introduction to Estimation theory and its concepts, -Preliminary notations	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.31- 10.5				
2	1	Consistency estimation and unbiased estimates	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.6- 10.7				
3	1	Sufficiency estimates with examples	R4:Chap.11; Pg. No. 156-158				
4	1	Efficiency estimates with examples	R4:Chap.11; Pg. No. 158-162				
5	1	Asymptotically most efficient estimates	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.12- 10.15				
6	1	Methods of finding Estimates and Confidence Interval	R5:Chap.10; Pg. No. 10.11- 10.13				
7	1	Recapitulation and discussion on important questions					
	Fotal 7 hrs						

UNIT-V						
S.No	Lecture Duration (Hr)	Topics to be Covered	Support Materials			
1	1	Analysis of variance-Introduction and one way classification	R5:Chap.11; Pg. No. 11.1- 11.13			
2	1	Analysis of variance - Two way classification with illustration	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 435-436			
3		Problems on ANOVA	R6:Chap.8; Pg. No. 436-437			
4	1	Hypotheses testing-Power Functions	T1:Chap.16,P.No.432-438			
5		Hypotheses testing- OC Functions	T1:Chap.16,P.No.438-440			
6	1	Most powerful test	T1:Chap.16,P.No.440-445			
7		Uniformly most powerful test	T1:Chap.16,P.No.445-450			
8	1	Unbiased tests	T1:Chap.16,P.No.450-452			
9 1 Recapitulation and discussion on important questions						
10     1     Discussion on important questions from previous year ESE question paper.						
111Discussion on important questions from previous year ESE question paper.						
12						
Т	otal 12 hrs					
Total I	<b>Lecture Hours Pla</b>	anned : 48				

## **TEXT BOOK**

1) T1. Marek Fisz, 1980. Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

## REFERENCES

- 1) Meyer, 1969. Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2) Sheldon M. Ross, 1995. Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3) Heinz Bauer, 1995.Probality Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4) Parimal Mukhopadhyay, 1991. Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.
- 5) T.N. Srivastava & Shailaja Rego; Statistics for Management, 2nd Edn.; Mc Graw Hill Education Pvt. Ltd.
- 6) Aczel.A.D& Sounderpandian.J (2012), Complete Business Statistics (7th edn.) McGraw Hill Education

4



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University) (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics	Semester III	LTPC
Subject Code : 17MMP304	Class : II M.Sc Mathematics	400

#### UNIT I

Probability: Random Events – Preliminary remarks – random events and operations performed on them – the system of axioms of the theory of probability – conditional probability – Bayes theorem – Independent Events –functions of random variables –Introduction to hypothesis testing, type of errors, standard errors, confidence interval, confidence limits. Significance level.

#### SUGGESTED READINGS

#### TEXT BOOK

1.Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3. Heinz Bauer,(1996),Probability Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.

## Probability

DEFINITION: A probability experiment is an action, or trial, through which specific results (counts, measurements or responses) are obtained. The result of a single trial in a probability experiment is an outcome. The set of all possible outcomes of a probability experiment is the sample space. An event consists of one or more outcomes and is a subset of the sample space.

Example 1 The experiment consists of tossing a coin then rolling a die. the sample space consists of \_\_\_\_\_

Н						]	Γ				
1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6

How many outcomes are there? Do you agree, disagree, or have no opinion, and what is your gender?

An event that consists of a single outcome is called a simple event

DEFINITION: Classical (or theoretical) is used when each outcome in a sample space is equally likely to occur. The Classical probability of an event E is given by:

Example 3 Roll a die: What is the sample space?  $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ Event A: rolling a 3, p = 1/6 = 0.157. Note this is a simple event. Event C: rolling < 5, p =4/6 = 0.667. Note this is not a simple event.

DEFINITION Empirical (or statistical) probability is based on observations obtained from probability experiments. The empirical probability of an event E is the relative frequency of event E:

Example: Finding Empirical Probabilities . Each fish (Bluegill, Redgill, and Crappy) is equally likely to get caught. You catch and release the following.

Fish Type	Number of times caught, <i>f</i>
Bluegill	13
Redgill	17
Crappy	10

Probability of catching a bluegill = 13/40 = 0.325

Law of Large Numbers (p 114): As an experiment is repeated over and over, the empirical probability of the event approaches the theoretical (actual) probability of the event.

#### **Basic Concepts of Probability**

In statistics, an <u>experiment</u> is a process leading to at least two possible outcomes with uncertainty as to which will occur.

The set of all possible outcomes of an experiment is called the <u>sample space</u> (S). Each outcome in S is called a <u>sample point</u>.

## Example 1

Three items are selected at random from a manufacturing process. Each item is inspected and classified defective (D) or non-defective (N).

An <u>event</u> is a subset of a sample space, it consists of one or more outcomes with a common characteristic.

#### Example 2

The event that the number of defectives in above example is greater than 1.

The <u>null space</u> or <u>empty space</u> is a subset of the sample space that contains no outcomes ([]).

The <u>intersection</u> of two events A and B denoted by (ADB) is the event containing all outcomes that are common to A and B.

Events are <u>mutually exclusive</u> if they have no elements in common.

The union of two events A and B denoted by (AIB) is the event containing all the elements that belong to A or to B or to both.

Events are <u>collectively exhaustive</u> if no other outcome is possible for a given experiment.

The <u>complement</u> of an event A with respect to S is the set of outcomes of S that are not in A denoted by .

## Probability of an Event

The probability of an event A

Probability postulates :

Notation :

- 1. P(S) = 1
- 2. P(I) = 0
- 3. 0 🛛 P(A) 🖓 1

## Methods of Assigning Probabilities

P(A)

1. <u>The classical approach</u>

If an experiment can result in any one of N different equally likely outcomes, and if exactly n of these outcomes correspond to event A, then

2. <u>The relative frequency approach</u>

If some number N of experiments are conducted and the event A occurs in  $N_{\rm A}$  of them, then

3. <u>The subjective approach</u>

Subjective probability is a personal assessment of the likelihood of an event.

## Principle of Counting - Permutation and Combination

Counting Sample Points

Fundamental principle of counting:

If an operation can be performed in  $N_1$  ways, a second operation can be performed in  $N_2$  ways, and so forth, then the sequence of k operations can be performed in

 $N_1 \hspace{0.1in} N_2 \hspace{0.1in} N_3 \hspace{0.1in} \Box \hspace{0.1in} N_k \hspace{0.1in} ways$ 

## Example 3

Suppose a licence plates containing two letters following by three digits with the first digit not zero. How many different licence plates can be printed?

A <u>permutation</u> is an arrangement of all or part of a set of objects.

# Example 4

The possible permutations from 3 letters A, B, C The number of permutations of n distinct objects is n!. The number of permutations of n distinct objects taken r at a time is

# Example 5

In how many ways can 10 people be seated on a bench if only 4 seats are available? The <u>combination</u> is a collection of n objects taken r at a time in any selections of r objects where order does not count. The number of combinations of n objects taken r at a time is

# Example 6

A box contains 8 eggs, 3 of which are rotten. Three eggs are picked at random. Find the probabilities of the following events.

- a) Exactly two eggs are rotten.
- b) All eggs are rotten.
- c) No egg is rotten.

# Addition Rule and Complimentary Rule

Addition Rule

1. For events that are not mutually exclusive

 $P(A \square B) = P(A) \square P(B) \square P(A \square B)$ 

# Example 7

A card is drawn from a complete deck of playing cards. What is the probability that the card is a heart or an ace?

For mutually exclusive events

$$P(A \square B) = P(A) \square P(B)$$

Complementary Rule

If A and All are complementary events then

$$P(A \square) = 1 \square P(A)$$

# Conditional Probability, Statistically Independence and Multiplication Rule

Conditional Probability

Let A and B be two events. The conditional probability of event A, given event B, denoted by P(A|B) is defined as

provided that P(B) > 0. Similarly, the conditional probability of B given A is defined as provided that P(A) > 0.

# Statistically Independence

Two events are independent when the occurrence or non-occurrence of one event has no effect on the probability of occurrence of the other event.

Definition : Two events A and B are independent if and only if

 $P(A \square B) = P(A)P(B)$ 

Multiplication Rule

1. For dependent events P(A||B) = P(A)P(B|A) or =P(B)P(A|B)

2. For independent events  $P(A \square B) = P(A)P(B)$ 

## Theorem of Total Probability

If the events  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $\Box$ ,  $B_k$  constitute a partition of the sample space S such that  $P(B_i) \Box 0$  (i = 1,  $\Box$ , k) then for any event A of S

 $P(A) = P(B_1)P(A|B_1) + P(B_2)P(A|B_2) + 1 + P(B_k)P(A|B_k)$ 

## Baye's Theorem

If  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $\square$ ,  $B_k$  are mutually exclusive events such that  $B_1 \square B_2 \square \square \square B_k$  contains all sample points of S, then for any event A of S with  $P(A) \square 0$ ,

for i = 1, 2, 3, 0, k

## **Hypothesis Testing**

Sampling Error (estimation error) – a) the difference between a sample statistic and the true population parameter that the sample statistic is being used to estimate. b) the error caused by observing a sample instead of the population, caused by sample-to-sample variation. c) in practice the exact sampling error for a statistic is typically unknown but statistical theory provides procedures for estimating the of the sampling error, called the standard error. d) the likely size of the sampling error can generally be reduced by increasing the sample size, although generally there are also costs involved with larger sample sizes.

and

Non-sampling Error - a) a catch-all term for the deviations from the true population parameter that are not a function of the sampling error (e.g. poorly worded questions or untruthful respondents). b) much more difficult to quantify than sampling error.

**Hypothesis Testing** – a) the standard approach to assessing whether an observed value of a variable or an observed relationship between two or more variables derived from sample data is "real," that is holds true in the population or is a result of mere chance. b) is an inferential statistics approach, that allows the researcher to use characteristics derived from sample data to make inferences about population characteristics. c) involves comparing empirically observed findings with theoretical expected findings. d) estimates the statistical significance of findings. e) involves posing opposing hypotheses about a population characteristic or the relationship between two or more population characteristics and then testing those hypotheses. f) asks how often the observed results could be expected to occur by chance, if the answer is relatively frequently , then chance would remain a viable explanation of the effect but if relatively rarely, then chance would not be a viable explanation.

**Null Hypothesis** – a) in hypothesis testing it is the claim or statement about a population parameter that is assumed to be valid or true unless the observed data contradicts this assumption. b) the hypothesis that two variables are not related or that two statistics (e.g. means or proportions) are the same. c) symbolized as  $H_0$ . d) hypothesis test can either reject the null hypothesis, in which case the alternative hypothesis may be true, or fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Alternative Hypothesis (research hypothesis) - a) in hypothesis testing it is the opposite claim or statement about a population parameter from the null hypothesis. b) the hypothesis that two variables are related or that two statistics (e.g. means or proportions) are different. c) the hypothesis that the researcher expects to be supported, although this perspective is controversial. d) symbolized as  $H_1$  or  $H_A$ .

**Type I Error** (alpha, false positive, false alarm) – a) falsely rejecting a true null hypothesis. b) mistakenly concluding that a difference exists in a population parameter when the sample difference was merely a result of chance. c) considered the more serious form of error and more important error to avoid. d) A court finding a person guilty of a crime that they did not actually commit.

**Type II Error (beta)** – a) falsely rejecting a true alternative hypothesis or falsely failing to reject a false null hypothesis. b) the inverse of type I error, so the greater the risk of committing one then the lower the risk of committing the other. c) a court finding a person innocent of a crime that they actually committed.

**Significance Level** (alpha level) – a) the probability of making a Type I Error. b) 0.05 is probably the most common significance level and corresponds to a situation in which Type I Error is committed only one time in 20. c) the inverse of the confidence level, so significance level of 0.05 corresponds to a 95% confidence level.

**Critical Value** – a) the points in a test statistic sampling distribution that define a statistically significant result, that is a result unlikely to have occurred merely due to chance. b) the value of a test statistic that result in rejecting or failing to reject the null hypothesis, that is the zone of rejection. c) found in tables for a test statistic (like chi-square , t-test, and z-test) and not calculated from observed data.

**Critical Region (Zone of Rejection)** – a) the critical region of a hypothesis test is the set of all outcomes which, if they occur, will result in rejecting the null hypothesis.

**Degrees of Freedom** (df) – a) the number of values that are free to vary or the number of independent pieces of information when calculating a test statistic. b) the number of independent scores or observations used to calculate a test statistic minus the number of statistics estimated as intermediate steps in the estimation of the statistic itself. c) an important but difficult to understand concept in inferential statistics but fortunately one with straightforward practical applications and simple equations.

## **One-tailed tests**

When rejecting the null hypothesis in favour of the alternative hypothesis. We have more than one type of alternative hypothesis to select, depending on our particular experiment. We have both non-directional alternative hypotheses which we call two-tailed tests and we will discuss these later on in this chapter, and we have directional hypotheses, or one-tailed tests. In a onetailed test, the direction of deviation from the null value is clearly specified. We place all of alpha in the one tail in a one-tailed test.

One-tailed tests should be approached with caution though: they should only be used in the light of strong previous research, theoretical or logical considerations. You need to have a very good reason beforehand that the outcome will lie in a certain direction.

One application in which one-tailed tests are used is in industrial quality control settings. For example, a company making statistical checks on the quality of its medical products is only interested in whether their product has fallen significantly below an acceptable standard. They are not usually interested in whether the product is better than average, this is obviously good, but in terms of legal liabilities and general consumer confidence in their product, they have to watch that their product quality is not worse than it should be. Hence one-tailed tests are often used.

## **Right-tailed tests**

If using a one-tailed test, it can be either a right-tailed or a left-tailed test, and this just refers to the expected direction of your result. If you expect your sample mean to fall in the region beyond Z critical, then we refer to it as a right tailed test. If the sample mean is indeed larger than Z critical, then we can reject the null hypothesis. If it is less, then we may not.

## Left-tailed tests

With a left-tailed test, we are expecting our sample mean to be less than Z critical, and we want to know whether it differs significantly from the population mean of 100 in the example we have here. If the sample mean is indeed less than Z critical, we are able to reject the null hypothesis.

## Two-tailed tests

A two-tailed test requires us to consider both sides of the Ho distribution, so we split alpha, and place half in each tail. With a two-tailed test, we want to know whether our sample mean is significantly bigger or smaller than the population mean.

## Two-tailed hypothesis testing

If our chosen alpha is 0.05 therefore, we will divide that into half, and use that figure to calculate our Z critical score, which will indicate the position on the distribution curve where, should our Z test score be observed to be either larger than, or smaller than, the Z critical, we can reject the null hypothesis.

## Null and Alternative Hypotheses

Many applications of statistical inference in engineering involving testing some hypothesis, e.g., that a new product performs better than an old product, or that the number of defective units is less than some specified level.

However, classical statistical inference is somewhat backwards: we typically don't try to <u>prove</u> our hypothesis directly, but instead construct a second, 'opposite' hypothesis, and seek to <u>reject</u> that.

• *Null Hypothesis*: The opposite of our scientific hypothesis. Expressed in forms like "the new product performs the same as the old product." Because this hypothesis often implies no effect (e.g. old design and new design are equal), it is called the null hypothesis and is denoted as H<sub>0</sub>.

• *Alternative Hypothesis:* Our actual scientific hypothesis (e.g., a new design is better than an old one) is called the alternative hypothesis. It is denoted as H<sub>1</sub>.

In order to prove (or stated more accurately, to supply evidence for) our scientific hypothesis, we seek statistical evidence that will enable us to reject the null hypothesis as implausible.

This reverse-logic approach is the *classical* approach to statistical hypothesis testing. The modern (Bayesian) approach is more logical: it tries to directly test the original hypothesis; however we will not be considering the Bayesian approach here.

## Errors in Hypothesis Testing

We can either reject or accept the null hypothesis; and it is either true or no true. This leads to four possible scenarios: two correct inferences and two incorrect ones.

			True State	
			H <sub>0</sub> True	H <sub>0</sub> False
			(No Effect)	$(H_1 True)$
	Decisio	Do not reject H <sub>0</sub>	Correct	Type II Error
	n	Reject H₀	Type I Error	Correct
e error probab	ilities are			

The error probabilities are:  $\alpha = P(Type \ I \ error)$  $\beta = P(Type \ II \ Error)$ 

#### **Possible Questions**

#### Unit I

## PART-B

- 1. What is Null Hypothesis? Discuss the steps in testing a Hypothesis.
- 2. Explain the functions of Random variable by an example.
- 3. Write a short note about the following terms:
  - i. Conditional Probability
  - ii. Null and Alternate Hypothesis
  - iii. Confidence Limits
- 4. Explain the Characteristics of Random variable with an example.
- 5. Write a short note about the following terms:
  - i) Confidence Interval
  - ii) Type I and Type II Errors
  - iii) One tail and Two tail tests
- 6. (i) Explain the of axioms of the theory probability.
  - (ii) State and prove Bayes theorem
- 7. Write a short note about the following terms:
  - i) Type I and Type II Errors
  - ii) Standard Error
  - iii) One tail and Two tail tests
- 8. Write a short note about the following terms:
  - i) Random Event and Independent Event
  - ii) Null and Alternate Hypothesis
  - iii) Standard Error

## PART-C

- 9. Write a short note about the following terms:
  - a) Conditional Probability
  - b) Bayes' Theorem
  - c) Type I and Type II Errors
  - d) Confidence Interval
  - e) Level of Significance

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

#### MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS (17MMP304)

Question	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Answer
UNIT-1					
The probability of drawing a card of King from a pack of cards is	1/4	1/11	1/12	1/13	1/13
In tossing a coin, the probability of getting head is	1/2	1/3	2	0	1/2
The probability that a leap year selected at random contain 53 Sundays is	1/7	2/7	3/7	1/53	2/7
A bag contains 7 red and 8 black balls. The probability of drawing a red ball is	7/15	8/15	1/15	14/15	7/15
The probability of drawing a card of clubs from a pack of 52 cards is	0	(1/3)	2/4	1/4	1/4
The probability of drawing an ace or queen card from a pack of 52 cards is	1/13	1/4	2/13	1/52	1/13
The total probability is is always equal to	0.5	2	1	0	1
A variable whose value is a number determined by the outcome of a random experiment is called a	Sample	Random variable	Outcome	Event	Random variable
If a random variable takes only a finite or a countable number of values, it is called a supersonance.	Finite random space	Continous random variable	Discrete random variable	Infinite random variable	Discrete random variable
variable X which can take any value	Interval	Limits	Finite values	Infinite values	Interval
Suppose that X be a discrete or continuous random variable, then distribution function is afunction of x.	Non-decreasing	Decreasing	Neither increasing nor decreasing	Can be increasing and decreasing	Non-decreasing

The function $f(x) = 5x^4$ , $0 < x < 1$ can be	Probability mass	Probability	Distribution	Exponential	Probability
a of a random variable X.	function	density function	function	function	density function
If F(x) is the cumulative distribution function of a continuous random variable X with p.d.f f(x) then	F'(x) = f(x)	F'(x) not equal to f(x)	F'(x) < f(x)	F'(x) >f(x)	F'(x) = f(x)
If X is a continuous random variable with p.d.f $f(x)$ , then $F(b)$ - $F(a)$ =	P(a>X>b)	P(a <x>b)</x>	P(b <x<a)< td=""><td>P(a<x<b)< td=""><td>P(a<x<b)< td=""></x<b)<></td></x<b)<></td></x<a)<>	P(a <x<b)< td=""><td>P(a<x<b)< td=""></x<b)<></td></x<b)<>	P(a <x<b)< td=""></x<b)<>
Which one of the following represents the best estimate of the population mean?	The sample mean	The mean of several sample means	The mode of several sample means	The median of several sample means	The mean of several sample means
Which of the following statements are true?	Parameters describe samples and statistics describe populations	Statistics describe samples and populations	Parameters describe populations and statistics describe samples	Both (a) and (b) above	Parameters describe populations and statistics describe samples
The narrower the confidence intervals:	The more confidence you can place in your results	The less you can rely on your results	The greater the chance that your results were due to sampling error	Correlation between the two scores	The more confidence you can place in your results
Statistical significance:	Is directly equivalent to psychological importance	Does not necessarily mean that results are psychologically important	Depends on sample size	Both (b) and (c) above	Both (b) and (c) above
All other things being equal:	The more sample size increases, the more power decreases	The more sample size increases, the more power increases	Sample size has no relationship to power	The more sample size increases, the more indeterminate the power	The more sample size increases, the more power increases
Find probability of drawing diamond and a heart card from a pack of 52 cards?	13/102	1/4	2/13	7/16	13/102
The probability of drawing king and queen card from a pack of 52 cards is	13/102	1/4	2/13	8/663	8/663

Two coins are tossed five times, find the	1	1			
probability of getting an even number of	0.25	1	0.4	0.25	0.25
All other things being equal, the more powerful the statistical test:	The wider the confidence intervals	The more likely the confidence interval will include zero	The narrower the confidence interval	The smaller the sample size	The narrower the confidence interval
Power can be calculated by a knowledge of:	The statistical test, the type of design and the effect size	The statistical test, the criterion significance level and the effect size	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the type of design	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the sample size	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the sample size
Which of the following constitute continuous variables?	Anxiety rated on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 equals not anxious, 3 equals moderately anxious and 5 equals highly anxious	Gender	Temperature	Intelligence	Temperature
A continuous variable can be described as:	Able to take only certain discrete values within a range of scores	Able to take any value within a range of scores	Being made up of categories	Being made up of variables	Able to take any value within a range of scores
Which one of the following represents the best estimate of the population mean?	The sample mean	The mean of several sample means	The mode of several sample means	The median of several sample means	The mean of several sample means
Which one of the following represents the best estimate of the population mean?	The sample mean	The mean of several sample means	The mode of several sample means	The median of several sample means	The mean of several sample means
The narrower the confidence intervals:	The more confidence you can place in your results	The less you can rely on your results	The greater the chance that your results were due to sampling error	Correlation between the two scores	The more confidence you can place in your results

Which of the following could be considered as categorical variables?	Gender	Brand of baked beans	Hair colour	All of the above	All of the above
One card is drawn at random from a well- shuffled pack of 52 cards. What is the probability that it will be a diamond ?	1/13	1/4	1/52	1/15	1/4
Which of the following is a continous probability distribution?	Normal	Poisson	Binomial	Uniform	Normal
For which distribution, mean,meadian and mode coincides?	Poisson	F	Chi square	Normal	Normal
The range of standard normal variate is	–∞ to +∞	0 to 1	0 to ∞	1 to ∞	–∞ to +∞



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University)

(Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester IIIL T P CClass : II M.Sc Mathematics4 0 0 4

## UNIT II

Sample moments and their functions: Notion of a sample and a statistic - Distribution functions of X, S<sup>2</sup> and (X , S <sup>2</sup>) -Chi-square distribution -Student t-distribution -Fisher's Z-distribution -Snedecor's F -distribution -Distribution of sample mean from non-normal populations.

#### SUGGESTED READINGS

#### TEXT BOOK

1.Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3. Heinz Bauer, (1996), Probability Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.

#### Sampling, Sampling Distributions

#### Samples vs. Populations

**Population**: A complete set of observations or measurements about which conclusions are to be drawn.

**Sample**: A subset or part of a population.

Not necessarily random

Statistics vs. Parameters

Parameter: A summary characteristic of a population.

Summary of Central tendency, variability, shape, correlation

E.g., Population mean, Population Standard Deviation, Population Median, Proportion of population of registered voters voting for Bush, Population correlation between Systolic & Diastolic BP

**Statistic**: A summary characteristic of a sample. Any of the above computed from a sample taken from the population.

E.g., Sample mean, Sample Standard Deviation, median, correlation coefficient

#### **Inferential Statistics**

We take a sample and compute a description of a characteristic of the sample – central tendency (usually), variability or shape. That is, we compute the value of a sample statistic.

We use the sample statistic to make an educated guess about the corresponding population parameter.

The basic concept is easy. The devil is in the details.

DEFINITIONS

- A random variable *X* represents a numerical value associated with each outcome of a probability experiment.
- A random variable is discrete if it has a finite or countable number of possible outcomes that can be listed.
- A random variable is continuous if it has an uncountable number of possible outcomes, represented by an interval on the number line.

The number of calls a salesperson makes in one day is an example of a discrete random variable, while the time in hours he spends making calls in one day is an example of a continuous random variable.

A discrete probability distribution lists each possible value the random variable can assume, together with its probability. A probability distribution must satisfy the following conditions:

The probability of each value of the discrete random variable is between 0 and 1: The sum of all the probabilities is 1:

Guidelines for constructing a discrete probability distribution: (p164)

- 1. Make a frequency distribution for the possible outcomes
- 2. Find the sum of the frequencies
- 3. Find the probability of each possible outcome by dividing its frequency by the sum of the frequencies.
- 4. Check that each probability is between 0 and 1 and that the sum is 1.

Example (p 164) Individuals are rated on a score of 1 to 5 for passive-aggressive traits, where 1 is extremely passive and 5 is extremely aggressive.

Score,	Frequency,	P(X)
λ		
1	24	0.16
2	33	0.22
3	42	0.28
4	30	0.2
5	21	0.14
Total	150	1.00

The mean (also called the expected value) of a discrete random variable is given by:

Note that each value of x is multiplied by its corresponding probability and the products are added.

Example: Find the mean for passive-aggressive traits above:

X	P(X)	XP(X)
1	0.16	1*0.16 = 0.16

2	0.22	2*0.22 = 0.44
3	0.28	3*0.28 = 0.84
4	0.2	4*0.20 = 0.80
5	0.14	5*0.14 = 0.70

The variance of a discrete random variable is the expected value of :

The standard deviation is

Example (p 167) Find the Variance and Standard Deviation of the passive-aggressive measure in the above example

Χ	P(X)			
1	0.16	-1.94	3.764	0.602
2	0.22	-0.94	0.884	0.194
3	0.28	0.06	0.004	0.001
4	0.20	1.06	1.124	0.225
5	0.14	2.06	4.244	0.594
Χ				

So,

#### **Binomial Distributions**

A binomial experiment is a probability experiment that satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. The experiment is repeated for a fixed number of trials where each trial is independent of the other trials.
- 2. There are only two possible outcomes of interest for each trial. The outcomes can be classified as a success (S) or as a failure (F).
- 3. The probability of a success P(S) is the same for each trial.
- 4. The random variable x counts the number of successful trials.

#### **Notation for Binomial Experiments**

Symbol	Description
	The number of times the trial is repeated
	The probability of success in a single trial
	The probability of failure in a single trial
	The random variable represents a count of the number of successes in <i>n</i>
	trials: <i>x</i> = 0,1,2,3,, <i>n</i>

Suppose we have 9 trials. If we let 0 mean failure and 1 mean success, the probability of getting the results: 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 is . (See Mood and Graybill p 66.) This is a specific way of getting 3 successes: on the third fifth and sixth tries. Each try can be viewed as a box, and the number of ways we can place 3 1's in 9 boxes is the same as the number of ways we can choose the first 3

players from 9 on a baseball team. This is . In general the probability of a specific arrangement of x 1's and n-x 0's is and there are arrangements. This leads to the following formula for the binomial distribution.

In a binomial experiment, the probability of exactly *x* successes in *n* trials is:

This is often referred to as .

We can also see how this formula is derived from a simple example: Suppose we perform have 3 trials. The possible results are:

Sample Points	Probability of sample point	Value of x
SSS		3
SSF		2
SFS		2
SFF		1
FSS		2
FSF		1
FFS		1
FFF		0

So,

Appendix B, Table 2 gives, for the binomial distribution, the probabilities of x successes in n trials, for values of n = 2-16,20 for x = 0 to n, for various probabilities of success.

Population Parameters of a Binomial Distribution

The following are derivations of the mean for n = 1 and 2. (Mendenhall p 123)

The following is a derivation of the variance for n = 1. (Mendenhall p 123)

Performing the Test

To perform a hypothesis test, you must find a z-score based on the value of the parameter specified in the null hypothesis.

Note that in forming this z-score, we are using the standard error of the mean in the denominator. That's because your sample mean is distributed normally with <u>that</u> standard deviation, not the standard deviation of the population as a whole. We can rewrite the above like so:

We will then compare this to a critical value of z from the standard normal table. If it's greater than the z-critical, we reject the null and accept the alternative hypothesis. Otherwise, we do not reject the null, nor do we accept the alternative.

Example: Let's do the two-tail test on CSUN's graduation time. Let's say we know the standard deviation of the population is 2 years, and we sampled 49 CSUN graduates and found a sample mean of 6.9. Then we calculate:

We need a z-critical value for a significance level of 0.10. Since this is a two-tail test, we want 0.05 in each tail, so find the value of z in Table 3 that gives you an area as close to 0.95 as possible. This turns out to be 1.64. Since 1.4 < 1.64, we do not reject the null. The administration's claim cannot be rejected.

Example: Now let's do the one-tail test on CSUN's graduation time. All the calculations are the same, except now we want the whole 10% in the right tail. That gives us a z-critical of 1.28. Since 1.4 > 1.28, we reject the null and accept the alternative. We think the administration has underestimated the true mean graduation time.

NOTE: The test we just did is a right-tail test, because the null hypothesis is rejected only for a sufficiently <u>high</u> sample mean. But what if the null hypothesis had been that CSUN's average graduation time was <u>greater</u> than or equal to 6.5? In that case we would have done a left-tail test. In addition to the z-value calculated above being greater than z-critical, you also need to make sure the sample mean is less than the hypothesized mean (6.5 in this case). Alternatively, just calculate the z-value above without absolute value signs, and then put a negative sign on your z-critical.

Why did we reject in the two-tail case and accept in the one-tail case? Because in the two-tail case, some of the weight of  $\alpha$  had to go in the left tail, which turned out to be irrelevant in this case. That meant there was less weight to go in the right tail, and thus less chance of rejecting the null as a result of a high sample mean.

V. Getting Rid of the Bogus Assumptions

We assumed above that true standard deviation was known. Just as with CI's, this is a weird assumption. Why would we know the true standard deviation but not the true mean? When we have a large sample, we can get away with substituting the sample standard deviation for the true one and continuing to use the z-distribution. This gives us the following z-score formula:

But what if you don't know the true standard deviation and the sample size is small? Then we have to use the t-distribution. We calculate a t-score instead of a z-score:

And then we find a t-critical value instead of a z-critical value.

Example: Same example as above, doing a one-tail test. But this time, we don't know the standard deviation is 2, and our sample size was only 17. Our sample standard deviation turns out to be 1.9, and we use this to find our t-score:

In the t-table, with df = 16 - 1 = 15 and 90% confidence level, t-critical is 1.75. We do not reject the null.

If we had wanted a one-tail test, we'd have looked in the column of the table headed by 0.1000 (ignore the 0.8000 confidence level below, because that assumes a two-tail test). We get 1.341. Since 0.84 < 1.341, we do not reject the null.

Example: In the above example, for the two-tail test, the p-value is 2(0.0808) = 0.1616. That's the lowest alpha that will lead to rejection of the null in the two-tail test.

It is possible to find p-values when we're using the t-statistics as well. But the t-table in a book doesn't give us enough information to find the p-value with much precision. A statistical software program can do it for us, though.

Three theoretical facts and one practical fact about the distribution of sample means . . . The theoretical facts are about 1) central tendency, 2) variability, and 3) shape . . . 1. The mean of the population of sample means will be the same as the mean of the population from which the samples were taken. The mean of the means is the mean.  $\mu_M = \mu_.$ Implication: The sample mean is an unbiased estimate of the population mean. If you take a random sample from a population, it is just as likely to be smaller than the population mean as it is to be larger than the population mean.

2. The standard deviation of the population of sample means – called the standard error of the mean - will be equal to d original population's standard deviation divided by the square root of N, the size of each sample. (Corty, Eq. 5.1, p 142)
In Corty's notation, σ

σ<sub>M</sub> = -----N

The standard deviation ( $\sigma_M$ ) is called the standard error of the mean.

Implication: Means are less variable than individual scores. Means are likely to be closer to the population mean than individual scores. You can make a sample mean as close as you want to the population mean if you can afford a large sample.

3. The shape of the distribution of the population of sample means will be the normal distribution if the original distribution is normal or approach the normal as N gets larger in all other cases. This fact is called the *Central Limit Theorem*. It is the foundation upon which most of modern day inferential statistics rests. See Corty, p. 141.

Why do we care about #3: Because we'll need to compute probabilities associated with sample means when doing inferential statistics. To compute those probabilities, we need a probability distribution.

Practical fact

4. The distribution of Z's computed from each sample, using the formula X-bar -  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{M}}$ 

Z = -----

will be or approach (as sample size gets large) the Standard Normal Distribution with mean = 0 and SD = 1.

Another test question: What are three facts about the distribution of sample means – a fact about central, a fact about variability, and a fact about shape of the distribution of sample means?

Continuous (Normal) Probability Distributions

[NOTE: The following notes were compiled from previous notes used when I taught other statistics courses.]

## CHAPTER OBJECTIVES

- Understand the difference between discrete and continuous distributions.
- Compute the mean and the standard deviation for a uniform distribution.
- Compute probabilities using the uniform distribution.
- List the characteristics of the normal probability distribution.
- Define and calculate *z* values.
- Determine the probability an observation will lie between two points using the standard normal distribution.
- Determine the probability an observation will be above or below a given value using the standard normal distribution.
- Use the normal distribution to approximate the binomial probability distribution.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF A NORMAL PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

• The normal curve is bell-shaped and has a single peak at the exact center of the distribution.

- The arithmetic mean, median, and mode of the distribution are equal and located at the peak.
- Half the area under the curve is above and half is below this center point (peak).
- The normal probability distribution is symmetrical about its mean.
- It is asymptotic the curve gets closer and closer to the x-axis but never actually touches it.

#### NOTE

You can also have normal distributions with the same means but different standard deviations.

You can also have normal distributions with the same standard deviation but with different means.

You can also have normal distributions with different means and different standard deviations.

THE STANDARD NORMAL PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

A normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 is called the standard normal distribution.

z value: The distance between a selected value, designated X, and the population mean

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}$  , divided by the population standard deviation,  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}$  .

(5-2)

EXAMPLE 1: Monthly incomes of MBA Graduates.

The monthly incomes of recent MBA graduates in a large corporation are normally distributed with a mean of \$2,000 and a standard deviation of \$200. What is the z value for an income X of \$2,200? \$1,700?

For X = 2,200 and since z = (X - 1)/1, then z = (2,200 - 2,000)/200 = 1.

For X = 1,700 and since z = (X - 1)/1, then z = (1,700 - 2,000)/200 = -1.5.

A z value of 1 indicates that the value of \$2,200 is 1 standard deviation above the mean of \$2,000.

A z value of -1.5 indicates that the value of \$1,700 is 1.5 standard deviations below the mean of \$2,000.

<u>AREAS UNDER THE NORMAL CURVE</u> (See Section 5-3 "Applications of Normal Distributions.")

From the Empirical Rule, we should remember the following:

About 68 percent of the area under the normal curve is within plus one and minus one standard deviation of the mean, written as  $1 \pm 10$ .

About 95 percent of the area under the normal curve is within plus and minus two standard deviations of the mean, written  $1 \pm 2$ .

Practically all (99.74 percent) of the area under the normal curve is within three standard deviations of the mean, written  $1 \pm 3$ .

## THE NORMAL APPROXIMATION TO THE BINOMIAL

Using the normal distribution (a continuous distribution) as a substitute for a binomial distribution (a discrete distribution) for large values of n seems reasonable because as n increases, a binomial distribution gets closer and closer to a normal distribution. When do we use the normal approximation to the binomial distribution? The normal probability distribution is generally deemed a good approximation to the binomial probability distribution when  $np \ge 5$  and  $nq \ge 5$ . [Remember q = 1 - p.]

Recall for the binomial experiment:

- 1. There are only two mutually exclusive outcomes (success or failure) on each trial.
- 2. A binomial distribution results from counting the number of successes.
- 3. Each trial is independent.
- 4. The probability p is fixed from trial to trial, and the number of trials n is also fixed.

In order to use the normal distribution to approximate the binomial, we must use the mean and the standard deviation. Of the 200 homes sampled how many would you expect to have video recorders?

 $\Box = np = (200)(0.15) = 30.$  [Also n(1 - p) = (200)(0.85) = 170].

What is the variance?

2 = np(1 - p) = (200)(0.15)(1 - 0.15) = 25.5.

What is the standard deviation?

□ = = 5.0498.

What is the probability that less than 40 homes in the sample have video recorders?

Form of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  for one-sample mean:

 $H_0:$  0 = 115

H<sub>1</sub>: 0 0 115

• Hypotheses are always about population parameters, not sample statistics

 $H_0$ :  $\Box$  = population value

H<sub>1</sub>: I population value

• This hypothesis is a *non-directional* (two-tailed) hypothesis

- Null hypothesis: No effect
- Alternative hypothesis: Some effect (doesn't specify an increase or decrease)

Criterion for rejecting H<sub>0</sub>: Creating a Decision Rule:

Will compute a test statistic (types vary based on data, design & question)

Then decide if the value of the test statistic is "improbable" under  $H_0$ 

Traditionally, a test statistic is considered "unlikely" if it is expected to occur:

 $\square$  5 in a 100: has a probability of .05 or less (p  $\square$  0.05)

- Look in tails of sampling distribution for the unlikely outcomes
- Divide distribution into two parts:

Values that are <u>likely</u> if  $H_0$  is true Values that are <u>very unlikely</u> if  $H_0$  is true

Values close to  $H_0$  Values far from  $H_0$ 

Values in the *middle* Values in the *tails* 

Selecting a "significance level": []

Probability chosen as criteria for "unlikely"

Common convention:  $\Box = .05 (5\%)$ 

May set a smaller  ${\tt I}$  to be more conservative (  $p \; {\tt I} \; 0.01, \; 0.001)$ 

Critical value(s) = boundary(ies) b/n likely & unlikely outcomes

Rejection region = area(s) beyond critical value(s); outcomes that lead to a rejection of  $H_0$ 

Decision rule:

Reject H<sub>0</sub> when observed test-statistic equals or exceeds Critical value

...when statistic falls in the rejection region

Otherwise, Fail to Reject (Retain) H<sub>0</sub>

Collect data and Calculate "observed" test statistic:

z-test for one sample mean:

```
z = <u>sample mean – hypothesized population []</u>
```

standard error

z = <u>observed difference</u>

difference due to chance

Don't forget to compute standard error first!

0 =

Compare Test Statistic to Critical Values:

- Does observed z equal or exceed CV?
   (Does it fall in the *rejection region*?)
- If YES,

*Reject* H<sub>0</sub> = "statistically significant" finding

• If NO,

Fail to Reject  $H_0$  = "non-significant" finding

Interpret results:

- Return to research question
- *statistical significance* = not likely to be due to chance
- Never "prove" H<sub>0</sub> or H<sub>1</sub>

Summary of Statistical Hypothesis Testing:

- 1. Formulate a research question
- 2. Formulate a research/alternative hypothesis
- 3. Formulate the null hypothesis
- 4. Collect data

5. Reference a sampling distribution of the particular statistic assuming that  $H_0$ 

is true (in the cases so far, a sampling distribution of the mean)

- 6. Decide on a significance level (I), typically .05
- 7. Identify the critical value(s)
- 8. Compute the appropriate test statistic
- 9. Compare the test statistic to the critical value(s)
- 10. Reject  $H_0$  if the test statistic is equal to or exceeds the critical value, retain otherwise

#### **Possible Questions**

#### PART-B

- 1. State properties and applications of *t* distribution?
- A group of 5 patients treated with medicine A weigh 39, 48, 60 and 41 kgs; second group of 7 patients treated with medicine B weigh 38, 42, 56, 64, 68, 69, 62 kgs. Do you agree with claim that medicine B increases weight significantly?
  - (Use  $\alpha = 5\%$  and t <sub>0.05</sub> = 1.812)
- 3. Write the properties normal distribution?
- 4. Certain pesticide is packed into bags by a machine. Random samples of 10 bags are drawn and their contents are found to weigh (in kg) as follows.
  50 49 52 44 45 48 46 45 49 45
  Test if the average packing can be taken to be 50 kg.
- Certain brand of rice is packed into bags by a machine. Random samples of 15 bags are drawn and their contents are found to weigh (in kg) as follows.
   50 49 52 44 45 48 46 45 49 45 50 52 54 53 51 Test if the average packing can be taken to be 50 kg.
- 6. Mention Snedecor's F-distribution, its properties and applications?

#### PART-C

7. i) Define Normal Distribution and write its important characteristics. ii) Describe the characteristics of  $\chi^2$  - distribution.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

#### MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS (17MMP304)

Question	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Answer
UNIT-II					
The word is used to indicate various statistical measures like mean, standard deviation, correlation etc, in the universe.	Statistic	Parameter	Hypothesis	Sample	Parameter
The term STATISTIC refers to the statistical measures relating to the	Population	Hypothesis	Sample	Parameter	Sample
Degrees of freedom are related to	No. of observations in a set	Hypothesis under test	No. of independent observations in a set	No. of rows of observations	No. of independent observations in a set
Student's t-test is applicable in case of	Small samples	For sample of size between 25 and 35	Large samples	For sample size of more than 100	Small samples
The distribution used to test goodness of fit is	F distribution	$\chi^2$ distribution	t distribution	Z distribution	$\chi^2$ distribution
The formula for $\chi^2$ is	$\sum (O - E)^2 / E$	(E+O) <sup>2</sup> / E	(O-E) / E	∑(O - E) ²/ O	$\sum (O - E)^2 / E$
In sampling distribution the standard error is a	Standard mean	Sampling error	Difference error	Type-I error	Sampling error
The characteristic of the chi–square test is	Degree of Freedom	Level of significance	ANOVA	Independence of attributes	Independence of attributes
If $S_1^2 > S_2^2$ , then the F – statistic is	$S_{1}^{'} / S_{2}^{'}$	$S_2 / S_1$	$S_{1}^{2} / S_{2}^{2}$	$S_1^{3} / S_2^{3}$	$S_{1}^{2} / S_{2}^{2}$
Which of the following is the standard deviation of a sampling distribution.	Standard error	Sample standard deviation	Replication error	Meta error	Standard error
A good way to get a small standard error is to use a	Repeated sampling	Small sample	Large sample	Large population	Large sample
Numerical characteristic of a sample is called	Statistic	Parameter	Hypothesis	Sample	Statistic
--	-------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------
Which of the following symbols represents a population parameter?	S.D	Ø	r	0	Ø
The distribution of means of all possible samples taken from a population is	A sampling distribution	A sample	Population distribution	Parameter distribution	A sampling distribution
The mean of the sample means is exactly equal to the	Sample mean	Population mean	Weighted mean	Combined mean	Population mean
The mean of Chi - distribution with n degrees of freedom is	n	n-1	2n	2n-1	n
The Chi- distribution is	Continous	Multimodal	Bimodal	Symmetrical	Continous
In sampling without replacement, expectation of samlpe variance is not equal to:	Population variance	Sample mean	Sample S.D	Population mean	Population variance
For larger degrees of freedom , t- distribution tends to distribution	Standard normal	Binomial	Exponential	Poisson	Standard normal
Mode of F-distribution is always	< 1	> 1	1	0	< 1
Sampling distribution of F-distribution only depends on	Degrees of freedom	Population size	Sample size	Parameters	Degrees of freedom
Variance of Chi- distribution with n degrees of freedom is given by	n	2n	n-2	n-3	2n
Chi square variate with 1 degree of freedom is the square of variate	Standard normal	Binomial	Normal	Poisson	Standard normal
Student's t-distribution was discovered	Karl Pearson	Laplace	Fisher	Gosset	Gosset
about the distribution of a variable	Continuity	Symmetry	Discontinuity	Non-symmetry	Symmetry

Which distribution is lower at mean and higher at tail than a normal distribution	t	F	Z	Chi-Square	t
F-distribution was devised by	R.A.Fischer	Snedecor	Gosset	Karl Pearson	Snedecor
To test whether or not two population variances are equal, the appropriate distribution is	Z distribution	Chi-square distribution	F distribution	t-distribution	F distribution
If a statistic <i>t</i> follows student's t distribution,then t <sup>2</sup> follows	F distribution	t distribution	Chi-square distribution	Normal distribution	F distribution
If F follows $F(n_1,n_2)$ , then $\chi 2 =$ follows chi square distribution withd.f	n <sub>1</sub>	n <sub>2</sub>	n <sub>1</sub> -1	n <sub>2</sub> - 2	n <sub>1</sub>
The relation between the mean and variance of chi square distribution with $n$ d.f is	Mean=2 Variance	Mean=Variance	2 Mean= Variance	Mean <variance< td=""><td>2 Mean= Variance</td></variance<>	2 Mean= Variance
The range of F- variate is	- ∞ to + ∞	0 to 1	0 to ∞	- ∞ to 0	0 to ∞
The larger variance in the variance ratio for F-statistic is taken in	Denominator	Numerator	As constant	As zero	Numerator
From Snedocor's F-distribution, we can devisestatistic	Fischer's Z	Students t	Chi square	Normal	Fischer's Z
For Fischer's Z-distribution, Z-statistic is	Z=1/2 log F	Z=2 log F	Z=1/3log F	Z=log F	Z=1/2 log F
Z distribution is formulated fromdistribution.	F	Chi square	t	Standard Normal	F
Which of the following property is not a desirable property of a point estimator?	Consistency	Efficiency	Sufficiency	Bias	Bias
Which of the following is most relevant for deriving a point estimator?	Sample size	Confidence desired	Variability in the population	Population size	Sample size
Which of the following factor does not usually affect the width of a Confidence interval?	Sample size	Confidence desired	Variability in the population	Population size	Population size
Which of the following is not a property of the sample mean?	Unbiased	Efficient	Sufficient	Standardized	Standardized

Given the level of confidence as 95% and					
margin of error as 2%, the minimum sample size required to estimate the	1256	2009	2401	2815	2401
Which of the following statement about confidence limit for population mean is not true?	50% confidence limits are wider than 95%	90% confidence limits are wider than 95%	95% confidence limits are wider than 99%	99% confidence limits are widest	99% confidence limits are widest
Which of the following statement is normally true?	Acceptance region is more than the critical region	Acceptance region is less than the critical region	Acceptance region is equal to the critical region	There is no relationship between acceptance region and critical region	Acceptance region is more than the critical region
Which one of the following is not a step in conducting a test of significance?	Set up the Null hypothesis	Decide the level of significance	Decide the power of the Test	Decide on the appropriate statistics	Decide the power of the Test
The p-values indicates the :3	Minimum level of significance at which the null hypothesis would be rejected	Maximum level of significance at which the null hypothesis would be accepted	Maximum level of significance at which the null hypothesis would be rejected	Minimum level of significance at which the null hypothesis would be accepted	Maximum level of significance at which the null hypothesis would be accepted
population is not known, the most conservative sample size required for the given margin can be calculated by	p = 1/2	p = 1/3	p = 1/4	p = 3/4	p = 1/2
In which distribution the ratio of two variances under the null hypothesis of equal variance is taken?	The t-distribution	The uniform distribution	The Normal distribution	The F-distribution	The F-distribution



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University) (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester III L T Class : II M.Sc Mathematics

LTPC 4004

#### UNIT III

Significance test: Concept of a statistical test -Parametric tests for small samples and large samples Chi-square test -Kolmogorov Theorem-Smirnov Theorem-Tests of Kolmogorov and Smirnov type The Wald-Wolfovitz and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests -Independence Tests by contingency tables.

#### SUGGESTED READINGS

#### **TEXT BOOK**

1.Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3. Heinz Bauer, (1996), Probality Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.

#### Hypothesis:

A statistical hypothesis is an assumption that we make about a population parameter, which may or may not be true concerning one or more variables.

According to Prof. Morris Hamburg "A hypothesis in statistics is simply a quantitative statement about a population".

#### Hypothesis testing:

Hypothesis testing is to test some hypothesis about parent population from which the sample is drawn.

#### Example:

A coin may be tossed 200 times and we may get heads 80 times and tails 120 times, we may now be interested in testing the hypothesis that the coin is unbiased.

To take another example we may study the average weight of the 100 students of a particular college and may get the result as 110lb. We may now be interested in testing the hypothesis that the sample has been drawn from a population with average weight 115lb.

Hypotheses are two types

1. Null Hypothesis

2. Alternative hypothesis

#### Null hypothesis:

The hypothesis under verification is known as *null hypothesis* and is denoted by  $H_0$  and is always set up for possible rejection under the assumption that it is true.

For example, if we want to find out whether extra coaching has benefited the students or not, we shall set up a null hypothesis that *"extra coaching has not benefited the students"*. Similarly, if we want to find out whether a particular drug is effective in curing malaria we will take the null hypothesis that *"the drug is not effective in curing malaria"*.

#### Alternative hypothesis:

The rival hypothesis or hypothesis which is likely to be accepted in the event of rejection of the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is called alternative hypothesis and is denoted by  $H_1$  or  $H_a$ .

For example, if a psychologist who wishes to test whether or not a certain class of people have a mean I.Q. 100, then the following null and alternative hypothesis can be established.

The null hypothesis would be

Then the alternative hypothesis could be any one of the statements.

#### Errors in testing of hypothesis:

After applying a test, a decision is taken about the acceptance or rejection of null hypothesis against an alternative hypothesis. The decisions may be four types.

- 1) The hypothesis is true but our test rejects it.(type-I error)
- 2) The hypothesis is false but our test accepts it. .(type-II error)
- 3) The hypothesis is true and our test accepts it.(correct)
- 4) The hypothesis is false and our test rejects it.(correct)

The first two decisions are called errors in testing of hypothesis.

i.e.1) Type-I error

2) Type-II error

**1) Type-I error:** The type-I error is said to be committed if the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) is true but our test rejects it.

**2)** Type-II error: The type-II error is said to be committed if the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is false but our test accepts it.

#### Level of significance:

The maximum probability of committing type-I error is called level of significance and is denoted by.

= P (Committing Type-I error)

=  $P(H_0 \text{ is rejected when it is true})$ 

This can be measured in terms of percentage i.e. 5%, 1%, 10% etc.....

#### Power of the test:

The probability of rejecting a false hypothesis is called power of the test and is denoted by.

Power of the test =P ( $H_0$  is rejected when it is false) = 1- P ( $H_0$  is accepted when it is false) = 1- P (Committing Type-II error) = 1-

A test for which both and are small and kept at minimum level is considered desirable.

The only way to reduce both and simultaneously is by increasing sample size. The type-II error is more dangerous than type-I error. **Critical region:** 

A statistic is used to test the hypothesis  $H_0$ . The test statistic follows a known distribution. In a test, the area under the probability density curve is divided into two regions i.e. the region of acceptance and the region of rejection. The region of rejection is the region in which  $H_0$  is rejected. It indicates that if the value of test statistic lies in this region,  $H_0$  will be rejected. This region is called critical region. The area of the critical region is equal to the level of significance. The critical region is always on the tail of the distribution curve. It may be on both sides or on one side depending upon the alternative hypothesis.

#### One tailed and two tailed tests:

A test with the null hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis, it is called a two tailed test. In this case the critical region is located on both the tails of the distribution.

A test with the null hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis (right tailed alternative) or (left tailed alternative) is called one tailed test. In this case the critical region is located on one tail of the distribution.

against ----- right tailed test

against ----- left tailed test

#### Sampling distribution:

Suppose we have a population of size 'N' and we are interested to draw a sample of size 'n' from the population. In different time if we draw the sample of size n, we get different samples of different observations i.e. we can get possible samples. If we calculate some particular statistic from each of the samples, the distribution of sample statistic is called sampling distribution of the statistic. For example if we consider the mean as the statistic, then the distribution of all possible means of the samples is a distribution of the sample mean and it is called sampling distribution of the mean.

#### Standard error:

Standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the statistic t is called standard error of t.

#### Utility of standard error:

- 1. It is a useful instrument in the testing of hypothesis. If we are testing a hypothesis at 5% l.o.s and if the test statistic i.e. then the null hypothesis is rejected at 5% l.o.s otherwise it is accepted.
- 2. With the help of the S.E we can determine the limits with in which the parameter value expected to lie.

- 3. S.E provides an idea about the precision of the sample. If S.E increases the precision decreases and vice-versa. The reciprocal of the S.E i.e. is a measure of precision of a sample.
- 4. It is used to determine the size of the sample.

#### Test statistic:

The test statistic is defined as the difference between the sample statistic value and the hypothetical value, divided by the standard error of the statistic.

i.e. test statistic

#### Procedure for testing of hypothesis:

- 1. Set up a null hypothesis i.e. .
- 2. Set up a alternative hypothesis i.e. or or
- 3. Choose the level of significance i.e.
- 4. Select appropriate test statistic Z.
- 5. Select a random sample and compute the test statistic.
- 6. Calculate the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e. .
- 7. Compare the test statistic value with the tabulated value at % l.o.s. and make a decision whether to accept or to reject the null hypothesis.

#### Large sample tests:

The sample size which is greater than or equal to 30 is called as large sample and the test depending on large sample is called large sample test.

The assumption made while dealing with the problems relating to large samples are

Assumption-1: The random sampling distribution of the statistic is approximately normal.

**Assumption-2:** Values given by the sample are sufficiently closed to the population value and can be used on its place for calculating the standard error of the statistic.

#### Large sample test for single mean (or) test for significance of single mean:

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

against

where is population mean

is the value of

Let be a random sample from a normal population with mean and variance

i.e. if then, Where be the sample mean

Now the test statistic ~

=~

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

**Note:** if the population standard deviation is unknown then we can use its estimate s, which will be calculated from the sample.

#### Large sample test for difference between two means:

If two random samples of size and are drawn from two normal populations with means and , variances and respectively

Let and be the sample means for the first and second populations respectively

Then ~and ~

Therefore-~

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

Now the test statistic ~

.

~ [since =0 from  $H_0$ ]

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

=~

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

Note: If and are unknown then we can consider and as the estimate value of and respectively..

# Large sample test for single standard deviation (or) test for significance of standard deviation:

Let be a random sample of size n drawn from a normal population with mean and variance,

for large sample, sample standard deviation s follows a normal distribution with mean and variance i.e.

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

Now the test statistic ~

=~

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

#### Large sample test for difference between two standard deviations:

If two random samples of size and are drawn from two normal populations with means and , variances and respectively

Let and be the sample standard deviations for the first and second populations respectively

Then ~and ~

Therefore-~

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

Now the test statistic ~

~

=~

~ [since =0 from  $H_0$ ]

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

#### Large sample test for single proportion (or) test for significance of proportion:

Let x is number of success in n independent trails with constant probability p, then x follows a binomial distribution with mean np and variance npq.

In a sample of size n let x be the number of persons processing a given attribute then the sample proportion is given by

Then

And

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

Now the test statistic ~

=~

~

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

#### Large sample test for single proportion (or) test for significance of proportion:

let and be the number of persons processing a given attribute in a random sample of size and then the sample proportions are given by and

Then and -

And and

and

For this test

The null hypothesis is the two sided alternative

against

Now the test statistic ~



When is not known can be calculated by and

Now calculate

Find out the tabulated value of Z at % l.o.s i.e.

If >, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

If <, accept the null hypothesis  $H_0$ 

• As is unknown,

# Step 2: If falls into the above confidence intervals, then do *not* reject . Otherwise, reject .

Example 1:

The average starting salary of a college graduate is \$19000 according to government's report. The average salary of a random sample of 100 graduates is \$18800. The standard error is 800.

- (a) Is the government's report reliable as the level of significance is 0.05.
- (b) Find the p-value and test the hypothesis in (a) with the level of significance .
- (c) The other report by some institute indicates that the average salary is \$18900. Construct a 95% confidence interval and test if this report is reliable.

[solutions:]

(a)

Then,

Therefore, reject .

(b)

Therefore, not reject.

(C)

A 95% confidence interval is

Since , Therefore, *not* reject .

Example 2:

A sample of 49 provides a sample mean of 38 and a sample standard deviation of 7. Let . Please test the hypothesis

based on

(a) classical hypothesis test(b) p-value(c) confidence interval.[solution:]

(a)

we reject .

(b)

we reject .

(C)

confidence interval is

Since , we reject .

#### Hypothesis Testing for the Mean (Small Samples)

For samples of size less than 30 and when is unknown, if the population has a normal,

or nearly normal, distribution, the *t*-distribution is used to test for the mean .

Using the t-Test for a Mean when the sample is small			
Procedure	Equations	Example 4	
State the claim mathematically and verbally. Identify the null and alternative	State and		

hypotheses		
Specify the level of	Specify	
significance		
Identify the degrees of		
freedom and sketch the		
sampling distribution		
Determine any critical	Table 5 (t-distribution) in	The test is left-tailed.
values. If test is left	appendix B	Since test is left tailed
tailed, use One tail,		and , the critical value is
column with a negative		
sign. If test is right tailed,		
use One tail, column		
with a positive sign. If test		
is two tailed, use Two		
tails, column with a		
negative and positive		
sign.		
Determine the rejection	The rejection region is	The rejection region is
regions.		
Find the standardized		
test statistic		
Make a decision to reject	If t is in the rejection	Since reject
or fail to reject the null	region, reject , Otherwise	
hypothesis	do not reject	
Interpret the decision in		Reject claim that mean is
the context of the original		at least 16500.
claim.		

#### **Chi-Square Tests and the F-Distribution**

#### **Goodness of Fit**

DEFINITION A chi-square goodness-of-fit test is used to test whether a frequency

distribution fits an expected distribution.

The test is used in a multinomial experiment to determine whether the number of results in each category fits the null hypothesis:

: The distribution fits the proposed proportions

: The distribution differs from the claimed distribution.

To calculate the test statistic for the chi-square goodness-of-fit test, you can use observed frequencies and expected frequencies.

DEFINITION The **observed frequency O** of a category is the frequency for the category observed in the sample data.

The **expected frequency E** of a category is the calculated frequency for the category. Expected frequencies are obtained assuming the specified (or hypothesized) distribution. The expected frequency for the *i*th category is

where n is the number of trials (the sample size) and is the assumed probability of the *i*th category.

The Chi-square Goodness of Fit Test: The sampling distribution for the goodness-of-fit test is a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom where k is the number of categories. The test statistic is

where *O* represents the observed frequency of each category and *E* represents the expected frequency of each category. To use the chi-square goodness of fit test, *the following must be true* 

- 1. The observed frequencies must be obtained using a random sample.
- 2. The expected frequencies must be .

Performing the	e Chi-Square Goodness	s-of-Fit Test (p 496)
Procedure	Equations	Example <b>(p 497)</b>
Identify the claim. State the null and alternative hypothesis.	State and	: Classical 4% Country 36% Gospel 11% Oldies 2% Pop 18% Rock 29%
Specify the significance level	Specify	
Determine the degrees of freedom	d.f. = #categories - 1	
Find the critical value	: Obtain from Table 6 Appendix B	
Identify the rejection region		
Calculate the test statistic		Survey results, n = 500 Classical O= 8 E = $.04*500 =$ 20 Country O = 210 E = $.36*500 =$ 180

		Gospel O = 7 E = .11*500 = 55 Oldies O = 10 E = .02*500 = 10 Pop O = 75 E = .18*500 = 90 Rock O= 125 E = .29*500 = 145 Substituting
Make the decision to	Reject if is in the	Since 22.713 > 15.086 we
null hypothesis	Equivalently, we	Equivalently so reject the null
	reject if the P-value	hypothesis. (Note Table 6 of
	(the probability of detting as extreme a	Appendix B doesn't have a value less than 0 005 )
	value or more	
	extreme) is	
Interpret the decision in		Music preferences differ from
the context of the original		the radio station's claim.
claim		

Using Minitab to perform the Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test (Manual p 237)

The data from the example above (Example 2 p 497) will be used.

Enter Three columns: Music Type: Classical, etc, Observed: 8 etc, Distribution 0.04, etc. (Note the names of the columns 'Music Types', 'Observed' and 'Distribution' are entered in the gray row at the top.)

#### Select Calc->Calculator, Store the results in C4, and calculate the Expression

C3\*500, click **OK**, Name C4 'Expected' since it now contains the expected frequencies

Music Type	Observed	Distribution	Expected
Classical	8	0.04	20
Country	210	0.36	180
Gospel	72	0.11	55
Oldies	10	0.02	10
Рор	75	0.18	90
Rock	125	0.29	145

Next calculate the chi-square statistic,  $(O-E)^2/E$  as follows: Click **Calc->Calculator**.

**Store the results in** C5 and calculate the **Expression** (C2-C4)\*\*2/C4. Click on **OK** and C5 should contain the calculated values.

7.2000
5.0000
41.890
9
0.0000
2.5000
2.7586

Next add up the values in C5 and the sum is the test statistic as follows: Click on **Calc->Column Statistics**. Select **Sum** and enter C5 for the **Input Variable**. Click OK. The chi-square statistic is displayed in the session window as follows:

Sum of C5 = 22.7132	

Next calculate the P-value: Click on Calc->Probability Distributions->Chi-square.

Select **Cumulative Probability** and enter 5 **Degrees of Freedom** Enter the value of the test statistic 22.7132 for the **Input Constant**. Click **OK**.

The following is displayed on the Session Window.

Cumulative Distribution Function	
Chi-Square with 5 DF	
X P( X <= X )	
22.7132 0.999617	

P(X22.7132) = 0.999617 So the P-value = 1 - 0.999617 = 0.000383. This is less that  $\alpha = 0.01$  so we reject the null hypothesis.

Instead of calculating the P-value, we could have found the critical value from the Chi-Square table (Table 6 Appendix B) for 5 degrees of freedom as we did above. The value is 15.086, and since our test statistic is 22.7132, we reject the null hypothesis.

#### Chi-Square with M&M's

<i>H</i> <sub>0</sub> : Brown: 13%, Yellow: 14%, Red: 13%, Orange: 20%, Green 16%, Blue 24%
Significance level: $\alpha$ = 0.05
Degrees of freedom: number of categories $-1 = 5$
Critical Value:
Rejection Region:

Test Statistic: , where *O* is the actual number of M&M's of each color in the bag and *E* is the proportions specified under H<sub>0</sub> times the total number. Reject  $H_0$  if the test statistic is greater than the critical value (1.145)

#### Section 10.2 Independence

This section describes the chi-square test for independence which tests whether two random variables are independent of each other.

DEFINTION An *r x c* contingency table shows the observed frequencies for the two variables. The observed frequencies are arranged in r rows and c columns. The intersection of a row and a column is called a **cell.** 

The following is a contingency table for two variables A and B where is the frequency that A equals  $A_i$  and B equals  $B_j$ .

	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>	<b>A</b> <sub>3</sub>	$A_4$	Α
<b>B</b> <sub>1</sub>					
В					
2					
В					
3					
В					

If A and B are independent, we'd expect

## (

Example 1 Determining the expected frequencies of CEO's ages as a function of company size under the assumption that age is independent of company size.

	<=	40 -	50 -	60 -	>= 70	Total
	39	49	59	69		
Small/midsiz	42	69	108	60	21	300
e						
Large	5	18	85	120	22	250
Total	47	87	193	180	43	550

	<= 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60 - 69	>= 70	Total
Small/midsiz						300
е						
Large						250
Total	47	87	193	180	43	550

After finding the expected frequencies under the assumption that the variables are independent, you can test whether they are independent using the chi-square independence test.

DEFINITION A **chi-square independence test** is used to test the independence of two random variables. Using a chi-square test, you can determine whether the occurrence of one variable affects the probability of occurrence of the other variable.

To use the test,

- 1. The observed frequencies must be obtained from a random sample
- 2. Each expected frequency must be

The sampling distribution for the test is a chi-square distribution with

degrees of freedom, where r and c are the number of rows and columns, respectively, of the contingency table. The test statistic for the chi-square independence test is

where *O* represents the observed frequencies and *E* represents the expected frequencies. To begin the test we state the null hypothesis that the variables are independent and the alternative hypothesis that they are dependent.

Performing a Chi-Square Test for Independence (p 507)				
Procedure	Equations	Example2 <b>(p 507)</b>		
Identify the claim. State the null and alternative hypotheses.	State and	: CEO's ages are independent of company size : CEO's ages are dependent		
Specify the level of significance	Specify	on company size.		
Determine the degrees of freedom				
Find the critical value.	Obtain from Table 6,			

	Appendix B	
Identify the rejection region		
Calculate the test statistic		Note that O is in the table of actual CEO's ages above, and E is in the table of Expected CEO's ages (if independent of size) above
Make a decision to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis	Reject if is in the rejection region. Equivalently, we reject if the P-value (the probability of getting as extreme a value or more extreme) is	Since 77.9 > 13.277 we reject the null hypothesis Equivalently so reject the null hypothesis. (Note Table 6 of Appendix B doesn't have a value less than 0.005.)
Interpret the decision in the		CEO's ages and company
context of the original claim		size are dependent.

The test statistic (77.887) is greater than the critical value obtained from Table 6, Appendix B (13.277) so the null hypothesis is rejected. (Alternatively the P-Value (0.000) is less than the level of significance,  $\alpha$  (0.01) so the null hypothesis is rejected.)

3. An urban geographer randomly samples 20 new residents of a neighborhood to determine their ratings of local bus service. The scale used is as follows: 0–very dissatisfied, 1– dissatisfied, 2– neutral, 3–satisfied, 4–very satisfied. The 20 responses are 0,4,3, 2,2,1,1,2,1,0,01,2,1,3,4,2,0,4,1. Use the sign test to see whether the population median is 2.

Solution:

There are 5 observations above the hypothesized median. Because the sample size is larger than 10, we test using the sample proportion p = 5/20 = 0.25. Using the PROB-VALUE method the steps in this test are:

- **1)**  $H_0: \pi = 0.5 \text{ and } H_A: \pi 0.5$
- 2) We will use the *Z*-distribution
- 3) We will use the 5%-level, thus  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 4) The test statistic is
- **5)** Table A-4 shows that P(|Z| > 2.24) 0.025.

Significance Tests

- 6) Because PROB-VALUE < α, we reject H<sub>0</sub>. We conclude π is different than 0.5, and thus the median is different than 2.
- 4. A course in statistical methods was team-taught by two instructors, Professor Jovita Fontanez and Professor Clarence Old. Professor Fontanzez used many active learning techniques, whereas Old employed traditional methods. As part of the course evaluation, students were asked to indicate their instructor preference. There was reason to think students would prefer Fontanez, and the sample obtained was consistent with that idea: of the 12 students surveyed, 8 preferred Professor Fontanez and 2 preferred Professor Old. The remaining students were unable to express a preference. Test the hypothesis that the students prefer Fontanez. (*Hint:* Use the sign test.) *Solution:*

Although the sample is large enough for a normal approximation, we will use the binomial distribution to illustrate its application. Of the 12 observations, 8 preferred Prof. Fontanez, thus we need the probability of observing 8 or more successes in 12 trials of a Bernoulli process with the probability of success equal to 0.5. From Table A-1, we get

Adopting the 5% uncertainty level, we see that PROB-VALUE >  $\alpha$ . Thus we fail to reject H<sub>0</sub>. We cannot conclude students prefer Fontanez.

- 5. Use the data in Table 10-8 to perform two Mann–Whitney tests: (a) compare uncontrolled intersections and intersections with yield signs, and (b) compare uncontrolled intersections and intersections with stop signs. *Solution:* 
  - (a) The rank sums are 119.5 and 90.5 for the yield-signed and uncontrolled intersections respectively. Given the small sample size, we use an exact test rather than the normal approximation. The associated PROB-VALUE is 0.272. Adopting a 5% level of uncertainty, we fail to reject the hypothesis of no difference. We cannot conclude the samples were drawn from different populations.
  - (b) The rank sums are 130.5 and 59.5 for the stop-signed and uncontrolled intersections respectively. Given the small sample size, we use an exact test

rather than the normal approximation. The associated PROB-VALUE is 0.013. Adopting a 5% level of uncertainty, we reject the hypothesis of no difference. We conclude the samples were drawn from different populations.

6. Solid-waste generation rates measured in metric tons per household per year are collected randomly in selected areas of a township. The areas are classified as high-density, low density, or sparsely settled. It is thought that generation rates probably differ because of differences in waste collection and opportunities for on-site storage. Do the following data support this hypothesis?

High	Low Density	Sparsely Settled
Density		
1.84	2.04	1.07
3.06	2.28	2.31
3.62	4.01	0.91
4.91	1.86	3.28
3.49	1.42	1.31

Solution:

We will use the multi-sample Kruskal-Wallis test with an uncertainly level  $\alpha$  = 0.1. The null hypothesis is that all samples have been drawn from the same population. The rank sums are 55, 39 and 26 for the high density, low density, and sparsely settled samples respectively. The Kruskal-Wallis statistic is

Using the  $\chi^2$  distribution with degrees of freedom, the associated PROB-VALUE is 0.121. We fail to reject the null hypothesis. The sample does not support the hypothesis of differing waste generation rates.

7. The distances travelled to work by a random sample of 12 people to their places of work in 1996 and again in 2006 are shown in the following table.

Distance (km)				Distance (	km)
Person	1996	2006	Person	1996	2006
1	8.6	8.8	7	7.7	6.5

2	7.7	7.1	8	9.1	9
3	7.7	7.6	9	8	7.1
4	6.8	6.4	10	8.1	8.8
5	9.6	9.1	11	8.7	7.2
6	7.2	7.2	12	7.3	6.4

Has the length of the journey to work changed over the decade?

Solution:

The sample can be considered as twelve paired observations. By taking differences between paired values, we get measures of the change for each individual. If the median change for the population is zero, we expect a sample to have a median difference near zero. Thus we will do a sign test for the median difference with a hypothesized value of zero. In other words, the hypotheses are . We denote samples values whose distance decreased with a minus sign. Sample values with a positive difference get a plus sign. The sample becomes

 $S = \{-,+,+,+,+,0,+,-,+,-,+,+\}$ 

Ignoring the tie, this is a sample of size 11 with 8 values above the hypothesized median. We are using Format (C) of Table 10-1, thus the PROB-VALUE is where X is a binomial variable with  $\pi$  = 0.5. From the equation for the binomial, the PROB-VALUE is found to be 0.113. At the  $\alpha$  = 10% level, we fail the reject the null hypothesis. We cannot conclude there has been a change in distance.

8. One hundred randomly sampled residents of a city subject to periodic flooding are classified according to whether they are on the floodplain of the major river bisecting the city or off the floodplain. These households are then surveyed to determine whether they currently have flood insurance of any kind. The survey results are as follows:

	On the Floodplain	Off the Floodplain
Insured	50	10
No	15	25
Insurance		

Test a relevant hypothesis.

#### Solution:

We will do a  $\chi^2$  test for a relationship between insurance and house location. The null hypothesis is no relationship (independence). Augmenting the data with expected frequencies, we have:

	On the Floodplain	Off the Floodplain
Insured	50	10
	(39)	(21)
No	15	25
Insurance	(26)	(14)

The corresponding  $\chi^2$  value is 22.16. Table A-8 shows that with 1 degree of freedom, P( $\chi^2 > 20$ ) is zero to 3 decimal places. Thus for any reasonable level of uncertainty (any  $\alpha < 0.0005$ ), we can reject the null hypothesis.

**9**. The occurrence of sunshine over a 30-day period was calculated as the percentage of time the sun was visible (i.e., not obscured by clouds). The daily percentages were:

	Percentage		Percentage		Percentage
Day	of sunshine	Day	of sunshine	Day	of sunshine
1	75	11	21	21	77
2	95	12	96	22	100
3	89	13	90	23	90
4	80	14	10	24	98
5	7	15	100	25	60
6	84	16	90	26	90
7	90	17	6	27	100
8	18	18	0	28	90
9	90	19	22	29	58
10	100	20	44	30	0

If we define a sunny day as one with over 50% sunshine, determine whether the pattern of occurrence of sunny days is random. *Solution:* 

#### **Significance Tests**

For this we can use the number-of-runs test. Rather than calculate runs across two samples, here we will simply note if a day has 50% or more sunshine. The sample becomes

We see that the sample consists of 12 runs. There are  $n_x = 21$  sunny days, and  $n_y = 9$  cloudy days. Because  $n_x < 20$ , we cannot use the normal approximation given in Table 10-5. Instead the probability is computed using combinatorial rules, and is approximately 0.4. This is far too large for rejection of the randomness hypothesis. We cannot conclude the pattern is non-random.

**10**. Test the normality of the DO data (a) using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with the ungrouped data of Table 2-4 and (b) using the  $\chi^2$  test with k = 6 classes of Table 2-6. Solution:

(a) We will take the mean and standard deviation as known rather than estimated from the sample. Doing so results in calculated PROB-VALUES that are smaller than the true values (i.e., we are more likely to reject the null hypothesis). For the DO data the mean and standard deviation are 5.58 and 0.39 respectively. We sort the data, and then find the differences between the observed and expected cumulative distributions. The table below shows the results for a few of the 50 observations:

$X_i$	$S(x_i)$	$F(x_i)   S($	$(x_i)$ - $F(x_i)$
4.2	0.020	0.015	0.005
4.3	0.040	0.023	0.017
4.4	0.060	0.032	0.028
•••	•••	•••	
5.9	0.780	0.692	0.088
•••	•••	•••	
6.7	0.960	0.960	0.000
6.8	0.980	0.972	0.008
6.9	1.000	0.981	0.019

The maximum difference is 0.088. Table A-9 shows that with 50 degrees of freedom, the corresponding PROB-VALUE is about 0.6. We obviously cannot reject the hypothesis of normality.

(b) Here we will take the mean and standard deviation as unknown, to be estimated from the sample. In other words, we estimate two parameters from the sample. In building the  $\chi^2$  table, we combine the first two and the last two categories in Table 2-6 to ensure at least 2 expected frequencies per cell. This reduces the number of categories 4, as seen in the table below:

	Group	Minimum	Maximum	$\mathbf{O}_{\mathrm{j}}$	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{j}}$	$(O_j-E_j)^2/E_j$
	1	4.000	4.990	9	3.3	10.13
	2	5.000	5.490	10	17.0	2.89
	3	5.500	5.990	20	21.7	0.14
	4	6.000	6.990	11	7.0	2.24
The observe	ed Chi-so	juare value	is 15.4. With	k - p - 1	= 4 – 2 –	-1 = 1 degrees of

freedom, Table A-8 shows that the PROB-VALUE is less than 0.0005. We therefore reject the null hypothesis.

Note that with only 4 classes, we can obtain only a rough idea of the distribution of DO. The 4 classes given in Table 2-6 do not yield a distribution that is at all similar to the normal distribution. In practice one would need many classes (and observations) for the  $\chi^2$  test to be reliable.

# **Nonparametric Hypothesis Testing**

## EARNING OBJECTIVES

By the end of this chapter, you will be able to:

- 1. Identify and cite examples of situations in which nonparametric tests of hypothesis are appropriate.
- 2. Explain the logic of nonparametric hypothesis testing for ordinal variables as applied to the Mann-Whitney *U* and runs tests.
- 3. Perform Mann-Whitney *U* and runs tests using the five-step model as a guide, and correctly interpret the results.
- 4. Select an appropriate nonparametric test.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The chi square test, a **nonparametric test** of hypothesis. In this section, we will consider two other nonparametric tests, the **Mann-Whitney** *U* **test** and the **runs test**. Both tests are appropriate in the two-sample case when the variable of interest is measured at the ordinal level and, under conditions to be specified, may be considered as alternatives to the differences-in-means tests presented in Chapter 8 of the text. Before introducing the tests themselves, let us consider the situations where they can or should be used.

The Mann-Whitney *U* and the runs test represent a large class of tests of significance called "nonparametric" or "distribution-free" tests. These tests differ from the tests introduced in Chapters 7, 8, and 9 of the text in that they require no particular assumption about the shape of the population distribution. Recall that we needed to assume a normal sampling distribution in order to test proportions and means for significance. This assumption is satisfied only if sample size is large or if the additional assumption of a normally distributed population can be made (see the theorems presented in Chapter 5 of the text). Thus, means and proportions based on small samples can be tested for their significance only when we can make assumptions about the particular shape of the population distribution. Needless to say, researchers often find themselves in situations where they are uncomfortable with such precise assumptions about an unknown distribution.

Nonparametric tests, on the other hand, do not require the assumption of a normally shaped population distribution. In fact, with nonparametric tests, we do not need to assume any particular shape for the population distribution. The tests to be presented here, therefore, have wide applicability in situations where the researcher is unsure of the form of the population distribution. Since the requirement of a normal population can be relaxed with large samples, these tests are particularly useful when working with small samples.

Besides the assumptions of a normal sampling distribution, the test for means also requires the assumption of interval-ratio level of measurement. The Mann-Whitney *U* and the runs test may also be used when the researcher is uncertain of the appropriateness of this assumption.

To summarize, you should seriously consider ordinal-level, nonparametric tests of significance when either the assumption of interval-ratio measurement or the assumption of normal sampling distribution is in doubt for a test of sample means. Both of these assumptions are included in the model assumptions (step 1) for tests of means. The model can be thought of as the mathematical foundation for the rest of the test, and the researcher should be very certain of the appropriateness of these assumptions before placing confidence in the test results. If the model assumptions can be satisfied, tests of the differences in means are preferable to the nonparametric alternatives because the assumption of interval-ratio level permits more sophisticated mathematical procedures to be performed on the data. Thus, a greater volume of more precise information can be satisfied, the Mann-Whitney *U* and the runs test are very useful alternative tests for investigating the significance of the difference between two samples.

# 2 THE MANN-WHITNEY U TEST

In many ways, this test is similar to the test of significance for the difference in sample means. In both cases we compare random samples as a way of making inferences about the possible differences between two populations. In both cases, the test statistics are computed from the samples and compared with the sampling distribution of all possible sample outcomes. Instead of computing means as the sample statistic, however, the Mann-Whitney test is based on the ranking of the sample scores. This is appropriate since ranking is the most sophisticated mathematical operation that can be performed on ordinal-level data.

The computation of Mann-Whitney *U* test is straightforward. First, the scores from both samples are pooled and ranked from highest to lowest. Second, the ranks for the two samples are totaled and compared. If the two samples represent very different populations, the cases from each sample should be grouped together. The greater the extent to which the two samples differ, the greater the difference in the sum of the total ranks. If the populations are not significantly different from each other, then the cases from the two samples should be intermixed, and the sum of the total ranks would have similar values.

An example should clarify the underlying logic as well as the computational routines for this test. Assume that you are concerned with sex differences in the level of satisfaction with the social life available on your campus. You have administered survey instruments to randomly selected samples of male and female students and have devised a scale on which a high score indicates great satisfaction. The scores, by sex, are presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1 SCORES ON SATISFACTION SCALE FOR MALE AND FEMALE STUDENTS

Sam	iple 1	Sam	ple 2
(M	ale)	(Fer	nale)
Case	Score	Case	Score

1	42	13	45
2	35	14	40
3	30	15	32
4	25	16	30
5	19	17	28
6	17	18	27
7	15	19	26
8	14	20	24
9	9	21	20
10	5	22	10
11	4	23	8
12	2	24	7

You may be tempted to compute a mean for each of these samples and test the difference for its significance. If you keep in mind that these scores are only ordinal in level of measurement, you should be able to restrain yourself long enough to compute the Mann-Whitney *U* test. First, pool the scores and rank them from high to low. If you encounter any tied scores, assign all of them the average of the ranks they would have used up if they had not been tied. For example, cases 3 and 16 are tied with scores of 30. If they had not been tied, they would have used up ranks 6 and 7. Therefore, assign both cases the rank 6.5 [(6 + 7)/2]. Ranked scores are presented in Table 2.

# **TABLE 2** RANKED SCORES ON SATISFACTION SCALE FOR MALE AND FEMALESTUDENTS

:	Sample : (Male)	L		Sample : (Female)	2
<u>Case</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Cas</u>	<u>Score</u>	<u>Rank</u>
			<u>e</u>		
1	42	2	13	45	1
2	35	4	14	40	3
3	30	6.5	15	32	5
4	25	11	16	30	6.5
5	19	14	17	28	8
6	17	15	18	27	9
7	15	16	19	26	10
8	14	17	20	24	12
9	9	19	21	20	13
10	5	22	22	10	18
11	4	23	23	8	20
12	2	_24	24	7	21
ΣR		173.5			126.5

Next, the ranks are summed and the U statistic is computed. The formula for U is presented in Formula 1.

#### FORMULA 1

where:  $n_1$  = number of cases in sample 1  $n_2$  = number of cases in sample 2  $\sum R_1$  = the sum of the ranks for sample 1 For our sample problem above

$$U = 48.5$$

Note that we could have computed the *U* by using data from sample 2. This alternative solution, which we will label U' (*U* prime), would have resulted in a larger value for *U*. The smaller of the two values, *U* or U', is always taken as the value of *U*. Once *U* has been calculated, U' can be quickly determined by means of Formula 2:

#### **FORMULA 2** $U' = n_1 n_2 - U$

Thus, for the sample problem, U' would be (12)(12) - 48.5, or 95.5. Remember that the lower of these values is always taken as U.

Once the value of *U* has been determined, we must still conduct the test of significance. In step 1 of the five-step model, we assume ordinal level of measurement and make no assumption about the shape of the population distribution. The null hypothesis in step 2 is, as usual, a statement of "no difference:" the two populations represented by these samples are identical. Note that if this assumption is true, then the differences in total ranks should be small. The alternative or research hypothesis is usually a statement to the effect that the two populations are different. This form for  $H_1$  would direct the use of a two-tailed test. It is perfectly possible to use one-tailed tests with Mann-Whitney *U* when a direction for the difference can be predicted, but, to conserve space and time, we will consider only the two-tailed case.

In step 3, we will take advantage of the fact that, when total sample size (the combined number of cases in the two samples) is greater than or equal to 20, the sampling distribution of U approximates normality. This will allow us to use the *Z*-score table (see Appendix A of the textbook) to find the critical region as marked by *Z* (critical).

To compute the Mann-Whitney U test statistic (step 4), the necessary formulas are

FORMULA 3	Z (obtained) =

where U = the sample statistic

 $\mu_{\rm U}$  = the mean of the sampling distribution of sample *U*'s

 $\mathbb{I}_{U}$  = the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of

sample *U*'s

FORMULA 4  $\mu_{\rm U}$  =

FORMULA 5

For the sample problem above,  $\mu_{\rm U}$  will be . The standard deviation of the sampling distribution is

We now have all the information we need to conduct a test of significance for U.

#### Step 1. Making Assumptions.

Model: Independent random samples

Level of measurement is ordinal

## Step 2. Stating the Null Hypothesis

 $H_0$ : The populations from which the samples are drawn are identical on the variable of interest.

( $H_1$ : The populations from which the samples are drawn are different on the variable of interest.)

#### Step 3. Selecting the Sampling Distribution and Establishing the Critical Region.

Sampling distribution = Z distribution

Alpha = 0.05

Z (critical) = 0.1.96

**Step 4. Calculating the Test Statistic**. With *U* equal to 48.5,  $\mu_U$  equal to 72, and  $\mathbb{I}_U$  of 17.32,

Z (obtained) = Z (obtained) = Z (obtained) = -1.36

**Step 5. Making a Decision**. The test statistic, a *Z* (obtained) of -1.36, does not fall in the critical region as marked by the *Z* (critical) of  $\Box$  1.96. Therefore, we fail to reject the null of no difference. Male students are not significantly different from female students in terms of their level of satisfaction with the social life available on campus. Note that if we had used the *U*' value of 95.5 instead of *U* in computing the test statistic, the value of *Z* (obtained) would have been +1.36, and our decision to fail to reject the null would have been exactly the same.

#### **3 THE RUNS TEST**

The runs test, also called Wald–Wolfowitz runs test, is very similar in logic and form to the Mann-Whitney *U* test. The null hypothesis is, again, the assumption that there is no significant difference between the populations from which the samples come. To conduct the test, the scores from both samples are pooled and then ranked from high to low as if they were a single sample. If the null is true, then the scores should be intermixed and there should be many runs. A **run** is defined as *any sequence of one or more scores from the same sample*. If the null is false and the populations are different on the variable being measured, then there should be very few runs.

To illustrate with the data on social satisfaction presented above, if we pooled the two samples and designated a female student with an  $\mathbf{F}$  and a male student with an  $\mathbf{M}$ , we would get the following sequences:

<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	E	<u>M</u>	E	M	<u>FFFF</u>	M	<u>FF</u>	MMMN	<u>/</u> E	<u>M FF MMM</u>	
1	2	3	4	5	6	67		8	9	10	11 12 13	14

where the **F** at the far left represents the individual with the highest score (i.e., case 13 with a score of 45, who is a **F**emale), the next letter, **M**, represents the individual with the second highest score (case 1 with a score of 42, who is a **M**ale), and so on. The underlinings represent runs, and by counting we find that there are 14 runs in these data. So, for example, the 7<sup>th</sup> run is denoted as "FFFF" since there is a sequence of four females grouped side-by-side in this run, with the scores: 30, 28, 27, and 26; the 8<sup>th</sup> run reflects the cluster of the single male score: 25; the 9<sup>th</sup> run reflects the cluster of the two females scores: 24 and 20; the 10<sup>th</sup> run reflects the cluster of the four males scores: 19, 17, 15, and 14.

Although no prediction of the direction of the difference need be made with the runs test, the smaller the number of runs, the greater the likelihood that the difference between the two samples is significant. The lowest number of runs possible is, of course, two. If there had been sex differences in social satisfaction in the data above, with all female students expressing greater satisfaction than any male student, the data would have looked like this:

#### 

#### **MMMMMMMMMM**

2

The fewer the differences between the two populations, the greater the intermixing between the two samples. More intermixing means a higher number of runs and, consequently, a lower probability of being able to reject the null hypothesis.

For situations in which total sample size is greater than or equal to 20, the sampling distribution of all possible sample runs approximates normality. Thus, we can use the Z-score table (Appendix A of the textbook) to find the critical region as marked by Z (critical). The runs test statistic, *Z* (obtained), is computed with Formula 6:

FORMULA 6 Z (obtained) =

where R = the number of runs

- $\square_R$  = the mean of the sampling distribution of sample *R*'s
- $\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{R}}$  = the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of

sample <i>R</i> 's	
FORMULA 7	0 <sub>R</sub> =

#### FORMULA 8 0<sub>R</sub> =

To illustrate with an example, assume that randomly selected samples of male and female patients at a hospital have been asked to rate the level of pain they are feeling. Scores on the pain scale range from 1–35 with higher scores indicating greater pain. Is there a significant difference in pain levels reported between the sexes? The scores are reported in Table 3.

I	Male	Female				
Case	Score	Case	Score			
1	29	23	35			
2	29	24	33			
3	25	25	30			
4	25	26	30			
5	25	27	27			
6	24	28	26			
7	23	29	26			
8	23	30	22			
9	21	31	22			
10	18	32	20			
11	18	33	20			
12	17	34	19			
13	15	35	19			
14	13	36	16			
15	12	37	14			
16	8	38	14			
17	8	39	10			
18	7	40	9			
19	6	41	4			
20	5	42	4			
21	3	43	2			
22	3	44	2			

**TABLE 3** SCORES ON PAIN SCALE FOR MALE AND FEMALE PATIENTS

First, the scores must be pooled and then ranked; then we can count the number of runs. Note that tied scores can be a serious problem with this particular test because the number of runs can be affected by how the tied cases are arranged. If you encounter tied scores, probably the best (safest) thing to do is to compute all possible numbers of runs by rearranging the tied cases. If all possible solutions lead to the same decision (reject  $H_0$  or fail to reject  $H_0$ ), then we are on safe ground in making that decision. If the different arrangements lead to different decisions, then we clearly must opt for another test of significance. In our example, there are no tied cases across the samples (ties within samples won't make any difference in the number of runs).

Designating males with  $\mathbf{M}$  and females with  $\mathbf{F}$ , we can array the scores as follows:

FFFI	<u>E</u> <u>M</u>	M	<u>FFF</u>	MMM	<u>MMN</u>	<u>FF</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>FFFF</u>	<u>MMM</u>	<u>E</u> <u>M</u>	<u>FF</u>		
1		2	3		4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11
MM	<u>FF</u>	M	MMMN	<u>1 FF</u>	<u>MM</u>	<u>FF</u>							
12	13		14	1	15 1	L6	17						

There are 17 runs in these data, and we can now proceed with the formal test of significance.

#### Step 1. Making Assumptions.

Model: Independent random sampling

Level of measurement is ordinal

#### Step 2. Stating the Null Hypothesis.

 $H_0$ : The two populations are identical on level of pain.

(*H*<sub>1</sub>: The two populations are different on level of pain.)

#### Step 3. Selecting the Sampling Distribution and Establishing the Critical Region.

Sampling distribution = Z distribution Alpha = 0.05 Z (critical) = 01.96

**Step 4. Calculating the Test Statistic**. Before solving for *Z* (obtained), both  $\mu_R$  (the mean of the sampling distribution) and  $\mathbb{I}_R$  (the standard deviation of the sampling distribution) must be calculated. The necessary formulas were presented above as Formulas 7 and 8.

```
\Box_{R} =
\Box_{R} = 23
\Box_{R} =
\Box_{R} =
\Box_{R} = 3.28
Z (obtained) =

Z (obtained) =
```
Z (obtained) = -1.83

**Step 5. Making a Decision**. The test statistic, a *Z* (obtained) of -1.83, does not fall in the critical region as marked by the *Z* (critical) of  $\Box$ 1.96. Therefore, we must fail to reject the null hypothesis. Male and female hospital patients do not differ significantly on levels of pain reported.

# **4 CHOOSING AN ORDINAL TEST OF SIGNIFICANCE**

Several other ordinal tests of significance have been developed by statisticians. Most are based on logic similar to that presented in Sections 2 and 3 above, although some are appropriate only in specific circumstances. For example, when we have more than two samples, we could use a nonparametric equivalent of the analysis of variance (see Chapter 9) called the Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance by ranks test.

The two tests presented here are among the more popular general tests of significance for ordinal data, and choosing between them may be something of a problem. In most cases, which test is selected makes little difference, because the decision made in step 5 will be the same. One criterion you can use in making the choice is the number of ties across the samples. As was pointed out above, a large number of such ties makes the runs test rather troublesome and, in such a case, you should opt for the Mann-Whitney *U* test. Also, remember that, when the more restrictive model assumptions can be satisfied, the test for the significance of the difference in sample means is preferred over either of the tests presented here.

# NONPARAMETRIC TESTS FOR COMPARING TWO POPULATIONS

In situations where the normality of the population(s) is suspect or the sample sizes are so small that checking normality is not really feasible, it is sometimes preferable to use nonparametric tests to make inferences about "average" value.

You have data for a random sample of  $n_1$  subjects from Population 1 and a random sample of  $n_2$  subjects from Population 2. You'd like to test the significance of the difference between those two samples. What should you do? Carry out the traditional t test? Perhaps. But you probably should use the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

You have randomly assigned a random sample of n subjects to two treatment conditions (experimental and control), with  $n_1$  subjects in the experimental group and  $n_2$  subjects in the control group, where  $n_1 + n_2 = n$ , and you'd like to test the statistical significance of the effect on the principal outcome variable. What should you use there? The t test? No. Again, the better choice is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

What is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test? In what follows I will try to explain what it is, why it has not been used very often, and why it is an "all-purpose" significance test as well as an "all-purpose" procedure for constructing confidence intervals for the difference between two independent samples.

#### <u>What it is</u>

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, hereinafter referred to as the K-S test, for two independent samples was developed by Smirnov (1939), based upon previous work by Kolmogorov (1933). [See Hodges, 1957.] It compares the differences between two cumulative relative frequency distributions.

Consider the following example, taken from Goodman (1954):

Sample 1: 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5 (n<sub>1</sub> = 15)

Sample 2: 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 5, 5, 5 (n<sub>2</sub> = 15)

The frequency distributions for Sample 1 are:

Value	Freq.	Rel. Freq.	Cum. Freq.	Cum. Rel. Freq.
0	0	0/15 = 0	0	0/15 = 0
1	1	1/15 = .067	1	1/15 = .067
2	4	4/15 = .267	5	5/15 = .333
3	0	0/15 = 0	5	5/15 = .333
4	4	4/15 = .267	9	9/15 = .600
5	6	6/15 = .400	15	15/15 = 1.000

The corresponding frequency distributions for Sample 2 are:

Value	Freq.	Rel. Freq.	Cum. Freq.	Cum. Rel. Freq.
0	4	4/15 = .267	4	4/15 = .267
1	2	2/15 = .133	6	6/15 = .400
2	4	4/15 = .267	10	10/15 = .667
3	2	2/15 = .133	12	12/15 = .800
4	0	0/15 = 0	12	12/15 = .800
5	3	3/15 = .200	15	15/15 = 1.000

The test statistic for the K-S test is the <u>largest difference</u>, D, between corresponding cumulative relative frequencies for the two samples. For this example the largest difference is for scale value 3, for which D = .800 - .333 = .467. How likely is such a difference to be attributable to chance? Using the appropriate formula and/or table and/or computerized routine (more about those later) the corresponding p-value is .051 (two-tailed). If the pre-specified level of significance,  $\alpha$ , is .05 and the alternative hypothesis is non-directional the null hypothesis of no difference between the two population distributions cannot be rejected.

Nice. But what's wrong with using the t test? And why hasn't the K-S test been used more often? Let me take the first question first.

#### Why not t?

There are at least three things wrong with using the t test for such data:

1. The t test tests only the significance of the difference between the two sample means...nothing else. The K-S test is sensitive to differences throughout the entire scale.

2. The data might have come from a six-point Likert-type ordinal scale for which means are not appropriate; e.g., if the 0 is the leftmost scale value and might have nothing at all to do with "none". (As you undoubtedly know, there has been a never-ending controversy regarding treating ordinal scales as interval scales, to which I have contributed [Knapp, 1990, 1993], and to little or no avail. But see Marcus-Roberts & Roberts [1987] for the best resolution of the controversy that I've ever read.)

3. Even if means are appropriate the t test assumes that the two populations from which the samples have been drawn have normal distributions and equal variances. Those two asumptions are often very difficult to justify, robustness considerations to the contrary notwithstanding.

But isn't there a problem with loss of power by using this test? Not really. Wilcox (1997) has shown that the power of the K-S test can be quite high compared to that of various methods for testing differences between means.

Now for the second question.

Why has the K-S test for independent samples not been used more often?

At the beginning of his article Goodman (1954) says: "Recent results and tables on this topic have been prepared which contribute toward establishing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic as a standard nonparametric tool of statistical analysis." (p. 160). That was in 1954. It's now more than fifty years later, and the K-S test is definitely not a "standard nonparametric tool", as Wilcox (1997) has documented. There are several reasons:

1. It's not even mentioned in some nonparametric statistics textbooks, chapters within general statistics textbooks, and methodological articles. Gibbons (1993), for example, treats the Sign, Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney), Kruskal-Wallis, and Friedman tests, but there is nary a word about the K-S test.

2. Some people might be under the impression that the K-S test is strictly a goodness-of-fit test. There is indeed a K-S test of goodness-of-fit, but researchers seem to have been able to distinguish the chi-square test for independent samples from the chi-square test of goodness-of-fit, so if they can handle two chi-square tests they should be able to handle two K-S tests. (And the K-S test for two samples has even been extended to the case of three or more samples, just like the two-sample chi-square test has. See Conover [1980] and Schroer & Trenkler [1995] for details.)

3. There might be further concerns that it's too complicated and the necessary computer software is not readily available. Both concerns would be unfounded. It's simple to carry out, even by hand, as the above example in Goodman (1954) and comparable examples in Siegel and Castellan (1988) attest. Tables for testing the significance of D have been around for a long time (as have formulas for the case of two large samples) and there are at least two excellent internet sites where all the user need do is enter the data for the two samples and the software does the rest (see below).

#### K-S test confidence intervals

Many researchers prefer confidence intervals to significance tests, and they argue that you get significance tests "for free" when you establish confidence intervals around the test statistics. (If the null-hypothesized parameter is in the interval you can't reject it; if it isn't you can.) Sheskin's (2011) chapter on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for two independent samples (his Test 13) includes a procedure for constructing confidence intervals for D.

#### K-S test software

SAS includes a routine for both the two-sample K-S test and the goodness-of-fit K-S test. SPSS has only the latter, as does Minitab. Excel has neither, but there is a downloadable add-in that has both. There are two stand-alone routines that can carry out the two-sample K-S test. One of them (see the web address http://www.physics.csbsju.edu/stats/KS-test.n.plot\_form.html) requires the entry of the raw data for each subject in each sample, with n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> each between 10 and 1024. That is the one I used to check Goodman's (1954) analysis (see above). The other (downloadable at Hossein Arsham' s website via my friend John Pezzullo's marvelous StatPages.org website) requires the entry of the frequency (actual, not relative) of each of the

**Significance Tests** 

observations for each of the samples. I used that to run the two-sample K-S test for an interesting but admittedly artificial set of data that appeared in Table 1 of an article by Roberson, Shema, Mundfrom, and Holmes (1995). Here are the data:

Value	Freq.	Rel. Freq	Cum. Freq.	Cum. Rel. Freq.
1	0	0/70 = 0	0	0/70 = 0
2	52	52/70 = .743	52	52/70 = .743
3	11	11/70 = .157	63	63/70 = .900
4	0	0/70 = 0	63	63/70 = .900
5	7	7/70 = .100	70	70/70 = 1.000
Sample 2	2:			
Value	Freq.	Rel. Freq	Cum. Freq.	Cum. Rel. Freq.
1	37	37/70 = .529	37	37/70 = .529
2	10	10/70 = .143	47	47/70 = .671
3	0	0/70 = 0	47	47/70 = .671
4	0	0/70 = 0	47	47/70 = .671
5	23	23/70 = .329	70	70/70 = 1.000

This set of data is a natural for the K-S test but they do not discuss it among their suggested nonparametric alternatives to the t test. Although their article is concerned primarily with dependent samples, they introduce alternatives to t via this example involving independent samples. The two sample means are identical (2.457) but they argue, appropriately, that there are some very large differences at other scale points and the t test should not be used. (The p-value for t is 1.000.) They apply the Wilcoxon test and get a p-value of .003. Using the K-S test for the same data, John Pezzullo and I get D = .5285714 (for the scale value of 0) and the p-value is .000000006419. [Sorry to report so many decimal places, but that D is huge and that p is tiny.]

[Caution: For some reason the Arsham software requires at least six different categories (scale values) for the outcome variable used in the test. But John figured out that all you need to do is fill in frequencies of zero for any "ghost" category and everything will be fine. For the example just considered, the frequencies we entered for Sample 1 were 0, 52, 11, 0, 7, and 0 (that sixth 0 is for a non-existing sixth category) and for Sample 2 were 37, 10, 0, 0, 23, and 0 (likewise)

Sample 1:

#### Possible Questions PART-B

- 1. How Z-test is used for testing significance of proportions? In a referendum submitted to the student body and 850 men and 550 women voted. Out of these, 530 of men and 310 of women voted 'yes'. Does this indicate a significant difference in opinion on matter between men and women students? (Use  $\alpha = 5\%$  and  $Z_{(0.05)} = 1.96$ )
- 2. Test Median class size for Math is larger than the median class size for English for the following data using Mann Whitney U test.

8	<u>v</u>								
Class size (Math, M)	23	45	34	78	34	66	62	95	81
Class size (English, E)	30	47	18	34	44	61	54	28	40

3. According to the IQ level and the economic conditions of their homes 1000 students at a college were graded. Use test to find out whether there is any association between economic condition at home and IQ.

Economic	IC	IQ			
Conditions	High	Low	Total		
Rich	460	140	600		
Poor	240	160	400		
Total	700	300	1000		

(Note: The level of significance is 0.05 and table value is 3.84).

4. Test Median class size for Math is larger than the median class size for English for the following data using Mann – Whitney U test.

Class size (Math, M)	23	45	34	78	34	66	62	95	81
Class size (English, E)	30	47	18	34	44	61	54	28	40

5. Explain Kolomogrov-Smirnov test for 2 populations.

6. In order to increase the efficiency, one group of operators class room training, and the other group was provided on the job training. After the training, the times to complete a certain job, in minutes, was recorded for both the groups, the data recorded is given in the below table. Use Mann – Whitney U test to test whether both the methods of imparting training are equality effective.

Class man training		Opera No.	ator	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	Time			3 5	3 9	5 1	6 3	4 8	3 1	2 9	4 1	55	
Class room train	Ope rato r No.	1	2	3	4	5		6	7		8	-	-
ing	Tim e	85	28	42	37	61		54	30	5	57		

#### PART-C

7. Mr. Gowtham, Personal Manager is concerned about absenteeism. He decides to sample the records to determine if absenteeism is distributed evenly throughout the six-day work-week. The null hypothesis to be tested is: absenteeism is distributed evenly throughout the week. The sample results are as follows:

Day	Number of Absentees
Monday	12
Tuesday	9

Wednesday	11
Thursday	10
Friday	9
Saturday	9

- i. Using test of significance, compute value.
- ii. Is the null hypothesis rejected?
- iii. Specifically, what does this indicate to the Personal Manager? (*Note: The level of significance is 0.01 and table value is 15.086*).

## **DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

## MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS (17MMP304)

Question	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Answer
UNIT-III					
Level of significance is the probability of	Type I error	Type II error	No error	Standard error	Type I error
If the calculated value is less than the table value, then we accept the hypothesis.	Alternative	Null	Sample	Statistics	Null
Small sample test is also known as	Exact test	t – test	normal test	F - test	t – test
An example in a two-sided alternative hypothesis is:	H1: μ < 0	H1: μ > 0	H1: $\mu \ge 0$	H1: μ =0	H1: μ =D
Larger group from which the sample is drawn is called	Statistic	Sampling	Universe	Parameter	Universe
Any hypothesis concerning a population is called a	Sample	Population	Statistical measure	Statistical hypothesis	Statistical hypothesis
Rejecting null hypothesis when it is true leads to	Type I error	Type II error	Correct decision	Type III error	Type I error
Accepting null hypothesis when it is true leads to	Type I error	Type II error	Correct decision	Type III error	Correct decision
Type II error occurs only if	Reject Ho when it is true	Accept Ho when it is false	Accept Ho when it is true	Reject Ho when it is false	Accept Ho when it is false
The correct decision is	Reject Ho when it is true	Accept Ho when it is false	Reject Ho when it is false	Level of significance	Reject Ho when it is false
The maximum probability of committing type I error, which we specified in a test is known as	Null hypothesis	Alternative hypothesis	Degrees of freedom	Level of significance	Level of significance
If the computed value is less than the critical value, then	Null hypothesis is accepted	Null hypothesis is rejected	Alternative hypothesis is rejected	Level of significance	Null hypothesis is accepted

If the computed value is greater than the critical value, then	Null hypothesis is accepted	Null hypothesis is rejected	Alternative hypothesis is rejected	Level of significance	Null hypothesis is rejected
A critical function provides the basis for	Accepting H <sub>0</sub>	Rejecting H <sub>0</sub>	No decision about H <sub>0</sub>	No decision about H1	No decision about H1
Degree of freedom for statistic chi-square incase of contingency table of order 2 x 2 is	4	3	2	1	1
If the sample size is greater than 30, then the sample is called	Large sample	Small sample	Population	Normal	Large sample
If the sample size is less than 30, then the sample is called	Large sample	Small sample	Population	Normal	Small sample
Z – test is applicable only when the sample size is	Zero	2	Small	Large	Large
The degrees of freedom for two samples in t – test is	$n_1 + n_2 + 1$	$n_1 + n_2 - 2$	$n_1 + n_2 + 2$	$n_1 + n_2 + 1$	$n_1 + n_2 - 2$
The test-statistic t has d.f =:	n	n-1	n-2	n-3	n-1
An assumption of t – test is population of the sample is	Binomial	Poisson	Normal	Exponential	Normal
The degrees of freedom of chi – square test in contigency tables is	(r – 1)(c – 1)	(r + 1)(c + 1)	(r + 1)(c – 1)	(r – 1)(c + 1)	(r – 1)(c – 1)
In chi – square test, if the values of expected frequency are less than 5, then they are combined together with the neighbouring frequencies. This is known as	Goodness of fit	Degree of Freedom	Level of significance	Pooling	Pooling
In F – test, the variance of population from which samples are drawn are	Equal	Unequal	Small	Large	Equal
If the data is given in the form of a series of variables, then the DOF is	n	n – 1	n +1	(r – 1)(c – 1)	n – 1
The value of Z test at 5% level of significance is	3.96	2.96	1.96	0.96	1.96
From the following which one of the following is taken as null hypothesis?	$P_1 = P_2$	$P_1 > P_2$	$P_{1} < P_{2}$	$\mathbf{P}_{1\neq} \mathbf{P}_{2}$	$P_1 = P_2$

Most of the non-parametric methods utilise measurements on	Interval scale	Ratio scale	Ordinal scale	Nominal scale	Ordinal scale
For a non-parametric test,the distibution	Should be normal	Should be binomial	Need not be normal	Should be Poisson	Need not be normal
Which of the following is a non-parametric test?	Chi square test	F-test	t-test	Z-test	Chi square test
To test goodness of fit for a non-normal distribution, we use	Kolomogrov- Smirnov test	Chi square test	F-test	t-test	Kolomogrov- Smirnov test
In tests using rank methods, the null hypothesis is rejected if calculated value is	> tabulated value	< tabulated value	>= tabulated value	<=tabulated value	<tabulated td="" value<=""></tabulated>
The chi sqaure test statistic is defined as	Chi square = Sum [ {( O - E) * (O - E)} / E]	Chi square  = Sum [ (O - E)	Chi square = Sum [ $\{(O + E) \\ * (O + E)\} / E$ ]	Chi square = Sum [ {( O + E) * (O + E)}	Chi square = Sum [ {( O - E) * (O - E)} / E]
The chi square variate is always	≥ 0	<0	>1	Equality of	≥ 0
Kolomogrov Smirnov test is for testing	Equality of several means	Comparing two populations	Equality of 2 means	several	Comparing two populations
The null hypothesis for Kolomogrov Smirnov test is that two populations are from	Same population	Normal population	Different populations	Non-normal populations	Same population
Mann-Whitney U-test is used for testing	Equality of two means	Equality of three means	Equality of several means	Equality of 2 sets of several rankings	Equality of two means
The non-parametric test analogous to ANOVA is	Kruskal-Wallis test	Mann-Whitney U-test	Kolomogrov Smirnov test	Chi square test	Kruskal-Wallis test
Mann-Whitney U-test is analogous to	t-test	Chi-Square test	F-test	Z-test	t-test
Wilcoxon-Wilcox test is used for testing	Equality of several means	Equality of 2 variances	Equality of two means	several	Equality of several means
Wilcoxon-Wilcox test will compare equality of	All pairs of means	All means	2 means	More than 3 means	All pairs of means
The independence of attributes can be tested by using	Contigency tables	Normal table	Pooling	Z-test	Contigency tables
The degrees of freedom in a 3x3 contigency table is	8	4	3	0	4

The degrees of freedom in a r x s contigency table is	r-1	s-1	r+1	(r-1)(s-1)	(r-1)(s-1)
Most of the Non-Parametric methods utilize measurements on :	Interval Scale	Ratio Scale	Ordinal Scale	Nominal Scale	Ordinal Scale
Kolmogorow - Smirnov have evolved tests for	Goodness of fit of a distribution	Comparing two Populations	Both (a) and (b)	Neither (a) nor (b)	Both (a) and (b)
The most commonly used assumption about the distribution of a variable is:	Continuity of the distribution	Symmetry of the distribution	Both (a) and (b)	Neither (a) nor (b)	Symmetry of the distribution
Which one of the following statement is false:	α is called type I error	1 - $\alpha$ is called power of the test	β is called type II error	1 - $β$ is called power of the test	1 - $\alpha$ is called power of the test
Which one of the following is <b>not</b> an alternative hypothesis?	$H_1: m \neq m_0$	$H_1: m > m_0$	$H_1: m < m_0$	$\mathbf{H}_{1}:\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{m}_{0}$	$H_1: m \neq m_0$

Estimation



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University) (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester III Class : II M.Sc Mathematics LTPC 4004

## UNIT IV

Estimation: Preliminary notion -Consistency estimation -Unbiased estimates -Sufficiency - Efficiency -Asymptotically most efficient estimates -methods of finding estimates -confidence Interval.

#### SUGGESTED READINGS

#### TEXT BOOK

1.Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3. Heinz Bauer, (1996), Probality Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.

#### Basic (inferential) Statistics: Estimation, Confidence Intervals and Testing

Based on a (relatively small) random sample, taken from a population, we will try to draw conclusions about this population.

We built a model with statistical assumptions for the actual **observations**  $x_1, ..., x_n$ : the experiment can be repeated under the same conditions and repetition will lead to new, different observations. The model stays the same, but the realizations are different.

A **random sample**  $X_1$ , ...,  $X_n$  is a sequence of independent random variables that all have the same distribution with expectation  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Estimation

Example: is an *estimator* of the (unknown) population parameter  $\mu$ : it is a random variable.

If the experiment is really executed, the observed value is its estimate.

An **estimator** is a statistic (= function of the sample variables), used to get an idea about the real value of a population parameter.

An **estimate** is the observed value of an estimator after actually executing the sample.

#### Frequently used estimators:

Po p. par.	Estimator	Estimate
μ		
σ²		
р		

An estimator T of a parameter  $\theta$  is **unbiased** if  $E(T) = \theta$ 

The **bias** of T is  $E(T) - \theta$ .

Estimators can be compared by using the **Mean Squared Error** as a criterion:

Property:

MSE =  $bias^2$  + variance of T

If *T* is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , so  $E(T) = \theta$ , then:

Comparing of two estimators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of the parameter  $\theta$  (assuming that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are based on samples): the estimator having the smallest mean squared error is the best!

Po p. par.	Esti- mato r	Biased?	Standard error *) of the estimator
μ			
σ²			
р			

\*) = the standard deviation of an estimator

#### **Confidence Intervals**

Estimators are also called *point estimators*. Often we want to quantify the variation by giving an interval as an estimation: interval estimation or confidence intervals.

<u>Case 1</u>: a confidence interval for  $\mu$ , given  $\sigma$  <u>Statistical assumptions</u> (probability model):

 $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent and all  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ From probability theory we know:

For this and **confidence level 95%** we can find *c*, such that  $P(-c \le Z \le c) = 0.95$ . Using the N(0, 1)-table we find: c = 1.96



# N(0,1)-density function and Confidence level 95%

- is called the stochastic 95%-confidence interval for µ.
  Note that is not a number: is a random variable for which a probability holds
- If we have the result of the sample (the real values  $x_1, ..., x_n$ ) we compute a *numerical* interval, given the values of c=1.96, n and  $\sigma$ :
- Interpretation: "When repeating the n observations often and computing the interval as often, about 95% of these numerical confidence intervals will contain the unknown value of μ".
- In general:

## <u>Case 2</u>: $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ -model, unknown $\sigma^2$ and $\mu$

<u>Statistical assumptions</u>:  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are independent and all  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , unknown  $\sigma^2$ 

- We can<u>not</u> simply substitute **s** as an estimate for  $\sigma$  in the formula
- Instead we use the **Student's** *t*-distribution:
- *T* has a Student's t-distribution with *n* **1 degrees of freedom**. Notation: *T* ~ *t*(*n*-**1**)
- The graph of *T* is similar as the N(0,1)-graph



Now we use the table of critical values of the t-distribution to find c such that

Solving  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  we find the numerical interval:

Note that *c* depends on *n* -1 and that for 0.95 you should find *c* using **upper tail area**:  $P(T \ge c) = 0.025$  of the t(n-1)-table.



For confidence level **1-** $\alpha$ : :

#### a **Conf. Int. for** $\sigma^2$ in a N( $\mu$ , $\sigma^2$ )-model:

<u>Statistical assumptions</u>:  $X_1, ..., X_n$  constitute a random sample from the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  and  $\mu$  are <u>unknown</u>.

Notation:



Solving  $\sigma^2$  from ,

we find or numerically:

And:

## A confidence interval for the success proportion p of a population:

Statistical assumptions:

X = "the number of successes in a random sample of length *n*":  $X \sim B(n, p)$ .

For large n (np(1-p) > 5) we approximate:  $X \sim N(np, np(1-p))$  and for

Using the N(0, 1)-table we find c such that:

Estimating p(1-p) in the denominator by , we find:

#### **Testing hypotheses**

Statistical tests are used when we want to verify a statement (hypothesis) about a population on the basis of a random sample.

- The hypotheses should be expressed in the population parameters like  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$  and p.
- The null hypothesis (*H*<sub>0</sub>) contains the "old/ common situation" or the "prevailing view"
- The **alternative hypothesis** (*H*<sub>1</sub>) contains the denial of *H*<sub>0</sub>: the statement that we want to proof (statistically), by using the sample.

Examples: 1. Test  $H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  versus  $H_1$ :  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ 2. Test  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 28$  versus  $H_1$ :  $\mu \parallel 28$ 

*H*<sub>0</sub>:  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  is a **simple** hypothesis (one value of *p*) and *H*<sub>0</sub>:  $p \le \frac{1}{2}$  is a **compound** hypothesis.

- Our aim is usually to reject H<sub>0</sub> in favour of the statement in H<sub>1</sub>: the conclusion should be "reject H<sub>0</sub>" or "not reject H<sub>0</sub>" (accept H<sub>0</sub>)
- Our "proof" on the basis of observations is never 100% certain. We will choose a small probability of falsly rejecting  $H_0$ : this is called **the significance level**  $\alpha$ .
- The test statistic is usually an estimator of the population parameter, e.g. if  $H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , or a linked variable, e.g. *X* or

#### 8-steps-procedure for testing hypotheses:

(Formulate/state/compute successively:)

- **1.** The research question (in words)
- 2. The statistical assumptions (model)
- **3.** The hypotheses and level of significance
- 4. The statistic and its distribution
- 5. The observed value (of the statistic)
- **6.** The rejection region (for  $H_0$ ) or p-value
- 7. The statistical conclusion
- 8. The conclusion in words (answer question)

Applying the testing procedure in an example:

1. Does the majority of Gambians find Coca Cola (CC) preferable to Pepsi Cola (PC)?

#### Estimation

- 2. p = "the proportion of Gambian cola drinkers who prefer CC" and X = "the number of the 400 test participants who prefer CC":  $X \sim B(400, p)$
- 3. Test  $H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $H_1$ :  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  if  $\alpha = 0.01$
- 4. Statistic  $X \sim B(400, \frac{1}{2})$  if  $H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  is true

So X is approximately N(200, 100)-distrib.

- 5. The observed value: X = 225
- 6. **TEST**:  $X \ge c$  reject  $H_0$   $P(X \ge c \mid p = \frac{1}{2}) \le \alpha = 0.01$  (use cont.corr.) , or:  $P(Z \le) \ge 0.99 \implies \ge 2.33$  $c \ge 23.3 + 200.5 = 223.8 \implies c = 224$
- 7. X = 225 > 224 = c reject  $H_0$
- 8. At significance level 1% we have proven that Gambian cola drinkers prefer CC

Deciding by computing the **p-value** (or *observed significance level*): comparing the observed X = 225 and expected value E(X) = 200 if  $H_0$  is true, the p-value is the probability that X deviates this much or more:

#### 6. **TEST:** p-value $\leq \alpha$ reject $H_0$

p-value =  $P(X \ge 225 | H_0: p = \frac{1}{2})$ =  $P(Z \ge 2.45) = 0.69\% > \alpha \rightarrow reject H_0$ 

**The choice of the test statistic**: we chose *X*, but we also could have chosen or the standardized. Then the rejection region should be adjusted:

Statistic	observe d	Rejection region
The number X	<i>X</i> = 225	{224, 225,, 400}
proportion	= 0.5 <b>6</b> 25	
	<i>Z</i> = 2. <b>5</b>	

Errors in testing are shown in this table:

		The reality is	
		<i>H</i> ₀ is true	$H_1$ is false
Test result	accept H <sub>0</sub>	Correct decision	Type II error

Estimation

reject H <sub>0</sub>	Type I error	Correct decision

P(Type I error) =  $P(X ≥ 224 | p = \frac{1}{2})$ ≈ P(Z > 2.35) = 0.94% < α

P(Type II error) depends on the value of p, chosen from  $H_1$ :  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ . E.g. if p = 0.6 we find: P(Type II error) = P(X < 224 | p = 0.6)

 $≈ P(Z \le -1.68) = 4.65\%$ 

The **power** of the test = 1- P(Type II error). So if p = 0.6, the power of the test is 95.35%.

#### Test for $\mu$ in a N( $\mu,\,\sigma^2$ )-model, unknown $\sigma^2$

(Not : var() contains the unkown  $\sigma^2$ ) T ~ t(n - 1) if  $H_0$ :  $\mu = \mu_0$  is true

#### Example

- 1. Statement: the mean IQ of Gambians is higher than that of Senegalese (mean 101)
- 2.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent IQ's,  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- 3. Test  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 101$ ,  $H_1$ :  $\mu > 101$  for  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 4. Statistic~ t(20-1) if  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 101$
- 5. Observations for n = 20: = 104 and  $s^2 = 81$  So observed value
- 6. **TEST:**  $t \ge c \Rightarrow$  reject  $H_0$ .  $P(T_{19} \ge c) = 0.05 \Rightarrow c = 1.729$
- 7. < 1.729=> accept  $H_0$ .
- 8. There is not enough evidence to maintain the statement that Gambians are smarter than Senegalese at 5%-level.

Using the p-value:

6`. TEST: p-value  $\leq \alpha$  reject  $H_0$ 

p-value =  $P(T \ge 1.491 | H_0)$  is between

```
5% and 10%
```

7`. p-value > 5% => do not reject  $H_0$ .

**Note 1**: If we know  $\sigma^2$  we can execute the test procedure using and  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . **Note 2**: If *n* is large (> 100) we can use the N(0, 1)-distribution as an approximation for *T*. **Note 3:** If the population does not have a normal distribution and n is large, we can use as a test statistic, which is approximately N(0, 1)-distributed as

## A test for $\sigma^2$ (or $\sigma$ ), normal model

To test whether  $\sigma^2$  has a specific value we use  $S^2$ : if  $H_0$ : is true then

## An example:

The variation of the quantity active substance in a medicine is an important aspect of quality. Suppose the standard deviation of the quantity active substance in a tablet should not exceed 4 mg. For testing the quality, a random sample of 10 quantities of tablets is available: the sample variance turned out to be 25  $mg^2$ .

## The chi-square test for $\sigma^2$

- **1.** Is the quantity active substance in the medicine greater higher than permittted?
- **2.** The quantities  $X_1, ..., X_{10}$  are independent and  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for i = 1, ..., 10
- **3.** Test  $H_0$ :  $\sigma^2 = 4^2$  and  $H_1$ :  $\sigma^2 > 16$  for  $\alpha = 5\%$
- **4.** Test Statistic  $S^2$ : if  $H_0$  is true
- **5.** Observed value  $s^2 = 25$
- 6. **TEST:**  $s^2 \ge c \Rightarrow$  reject  $H_0$ .  $P(S^2 \ge c \mid \sigma^2 = 16) = 0.05$  $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow c = 30.0$
- 7.  $s^2 = 25 < 30.0 = c \Rightarrow do not reject H_0$
- **8.** The sample did not proof that the variation of quantity active substance is greater than allowed, at 5% level of significance.

## Two sided tests

- **1.** <u>Normal model, test for  $\mu$ , unknown  $\sigma^2$ </u> Hypotheses  $H_0$ :  $\mu = \mu_0$  and  $H_1$ :  $\mu \square \mu_0$  has a two sided (symmetric) rejection region:  $T \le -c$  or  $T \ge c =>$  reject  $H_0$ p-value = 2.
- **2.** <u>Normal model, test for  $\sigma^2$ , unknown  $\mu$ .</u> Hypotheses  $H_0$ : and  $H_1$ : has a two sided (asymmetric) rejection region:  $\leq c_1$  or  $\leq c_2 \Rightarrow$

reject *H*<sub>0</sub> p-value = 2 or 2

**3.** Binomial model, test for *p*: Hypotheses  $H_0: p = p_0$  and  $H_1: p \square p_0$ . has a two sided (symmetric) rejection region:  $Z \le -c$  or  $Z \ge c =>$  reject  $H_0$ p-value = 2.

## **Confidence Intervals for the Mean (Large Samples)**

- A **point estimate** is a single value estimate for a population parameter. The most unbiased estimate of the population mean is the sample mean .
- An **interval estimate** is an interval, or range of values, used to estimate a population parameter.
- The **level of confidence** *c* is the probability that the interval estimate contains the population parameter.

Since we can hardly expect that point estimates based on samples always hit the parameters they are supposed to estimate exactly, it is often desirable to give an interval rather than a single number. We can then assert with a certain probability (or degree of confidence) that such an interval contains the parameter it is intended to estimate. (Freund p 214)

For large samples, the Central Limit Theorem applies. From the CLT, when , the sampling distribution of the sample mean is normal. The level of confidence *c* is the area under the standard normal curve between **the critical values**, and . For example, if c = 95%, then 2.5% is less than and 2.5% is greater than . Looking up the *z*-score in table A16, we see that and . .

The distance between the point estimate and the actual parameter value is called the **error of estimate**. When estimating the error of estimate is the distance . *Given a level of confidence c*, the **maximum error of estimate** (sometimes called the margin of error or error tolerance) *E* is the greatest possible distance between the point estimate and the value of the parameter it is estimating.

When the sample standard deviation can be used in place of .

In example 1 and example 2 on pages 270 - 272, there are 54 samples and the sample mean and sample standard deviation are:

## Substituting

So we are 95% sure that the maximum error of estimate for the population mean is about 1.3.

The **c-confidence interval** for the population mean is

In the above example the 95% left endpoint (often called the lower confidence limit or LCL) of

the confidence interval is 12.4 - 1.3 = 11.1 and the right endpoint (often called the upper

confidence limit or UCL) is 12.4 + 1.3 = 13.7. So the 95% confidence interval is

The confidence interval is often denoted in the following ways

What to do	Equations	Example (from above)
Find the sample statistics and		
Specifyif known. Otherwise, if , find the sample standard deviation,		
Find the critical value that corresponds to the given level of confidence	Use the Standard Normal Table to find the value such that the area to the right of .	
Find the maximum error of the estimate <i>E. Note this is the</i> <i>critical value times the</i> <i>standard error of the mean.</i>		
Find the left and right endpoints and form the confidence interval	Left endpoint (LCL): Right endpoint (UCL): Interval:	

Summary for finding confidence interval for population mean (p 273)

In summary,

Example 5, **p 275** Take a sample of size 20 from a Normal distribution with standard deviation = 1.5. The sample mean is 22.9. What is the 90% CI? Looking in Table 4 p A16 (Standard Normal Distribution)

=

•
The assumed standard deviation = 5
N Mean SE Mean 95% CI

100 50.0000 0.5000 (49.0200, 50.9800)

#### **Determining Sample Size (p 276)**

How large a sample size (n) is needed to guarantee a certain level of confidence for a given maximum error of estimate (E)? This can be derived from the formula for E above

Solving for n gives:

If is unknown, s can be used as an estimate if there is a preliminary sample size of at least 30.

Example 6, p 276 We want to estimate the mean number of sentences in a magazine ad. How many ads must be in the sample if you want to be 95% confident that the sample mean is within one sentence of the population mean?

From Example 2, p 272, s = 5.0, so . So you need a sample of size 97.

#### **Confidence Intervals for the Mean (Small Samples)**

When the sample size is small (less than 30), the sample standard deviation s is not good enough to assume that the Central Limit Theorem applies. However when the random variable x is drawn from an approximately normal distribution, the distribution of the following random variable t is known and is called the **t-distribution**.

- The *t*-distribution is bell shaped and symmetric about the mean.
- The *t*-distribution is a family of curves, each determined by a parameter called the degrees of freedom (*d.f.*) where (*n* is the sample size)
- The total area under the t-curve is 1.
- The mean, median, and mode of the t-distribution are equal to zero.
- As the degrees of freedom increase, the t-distribution approaches the standard normal distribution

Constructing confidence interval using the t-distribution is similar to constructing it for the normal distribution as the following table indicates

Procedure	Equations	Example 2 p 286
Identify the sample statistics ,	3	,,
and s		
Identify the degrees of		
freedom, the level of	is found in Table 5	
confidence <i>c</i> , and the critical	Appendix B	
value		
Estimate the maximum error		
of estimate E		
Find the confidence interval		(156.6725,167.3275)

# Summary of when the normal distribution or the t-distribution can be used (p 288)

- If , the normal distribution can be used, and *s* can be used to estimate.
- If and the population is normally or approximately normally distributed, use the normal distribution if is known, otherwise use the t-distribution.
- If and the population is not approximately normally distributed, a CI cannot be constructed.

Note that the 95% Confidence Interval is (22.7617, 25.2383).

The results are presented in the session window as follows:

One	e-Sample T				
Ν	Mean	StDev	SE Mean	95%	CI
10	10 50.0000 5.0000 1.5811 (46.4232, 53.5768)				
Confidence Intervals for Population Proportions					

The for p, the population proportion of success is given by the proportion of successes in a sample and is denoted by

where *n* is the sample size and *x* is the number of successes in the sample. The point estimate for the number of failures is . The symbols and are read as "p hat" and "q hat". Note this is derived from the equation in section 5.5 where the mean of was obtained.

The mean and standard deviation of the estimate are:

This is the **standard error of the mean** (section 5.5) when the random variable only can take on the value 0 or 1.

Relate this to the sample mean of a random variable that has a binomial distribution:

The following table explains how to construct a confidence interval for the population proportion.

Constructing a Confidence Interval for the Population Proportion (p 294)			
Procedure	Equations	Examples 1, 2 (p 293, 295)	
Identify the sample statistics.	<i>n</i> is the number of trials and <i>x</i> is the number of successes	3	
Find the point estimate . Also find the estimate of the standard deviation (the standare error of the mean):	1		
Verify that the sampling distribution of can be approximated by the normal distribution			
Find the critical value that corresponds to the given level of confidence c.	Use the Standard Normal Table to find the value such that the area to the right of		
Find the maximum error of the estimate <i>E</i> . This is the critical value times the standard error of the mean.			
Find the left and right endpoints of the confidence interval		=	

Finding the minimum sample size is done by substituting in the formula that was derived above:

Note that is the estimate of the standard deviation of the proportion.

Example 4 **p 297**. We want to estimate the proportion of voters who support our candidate with 95% confidence that we are within 3% of the actual proportion. Since there is no preliminary estimate for we use 0.5. Substituting into the above equation we gives:

Rounding up, we need at least 1068 registered voters to be included in the sample.

## Hypothesis Testing with One Sample

## Introduction to Hypothesis Testing

A **null hypothesis** is a statistical hypothesis that contains a statement of equality, such as .

The **alternative hypothesis** is the complement of the null hypothesis. It is a statement that must be true if is false and it contains a statement of inequality such as

- A **Type I error** occurs if the null hypothesis is rejected when it is actually true.
- A **Type II error** occurs if the null hypothesis is not rejected when it is actually false.

A university claims that the proportion	H <sub>0</sub> : p = 0.82 (Claim)
of students who graduate in four years	H <sub>a</sub> : p <> 0.82
is 82%	
A water faucet manufacturer claims that the	H₀: p >= 2.5 gpm
mean flow rate of a faucet is less than 2.5	H <sub>a</sub> : p < 2.5 gpm (Claim)
gpm	
A cereal company claims that the mean	H₀: mean <= 20 oz
weight of the contents of its 20-ounce	H <sub>a</sub> : mean > 20 oz (Claim)
size cereal boxes is more than 20 oz	
An automobile battery manufacturer	H₀: mean = 74 (Claim)
claims that the mean live of a certain	H <sub>a</sub> : mean <> 74
battery type is 74 months	
A television manufacturer claims that	H₀: variance <= 3.5 (Claim)
the variance of the life of a certain type	H <sub>a</sub> : variance > 3.5
of TV is <= 3.5	
A radio station claims that its proportion	H₀: p <= 0.39
of the local listening audience is	H <sub>a</sub> : p > 0.39 (Claim)

Estimation

greater than 39%

DEFINITION In a hypothesis test, the **level of significance** is your maximum allowable probability of making **a Type I error**. It is denoted by Three commonly used levels of significance are . Note that making small means that we want a very small chance that we will reject a null hypothesis that is true.

The probability of a type II error is denoted by .

The following table summarizes this:

	True	True
Do not reject	Correct decision	Type II Error (Probability = )
Reject	Type I error (Probability = )	Correct decision

The statistic that is compared to the parameter in the null hypothesis is called the test statistic.

The following table shows the relationships between population parameters and their

corresponding test statistics, sampling distributions, and standardized test statistics. (p 325)

Population Parameter	Test statistic	Sampling Distribution	Standardized test statistic
		If , Normal	Z
		If , Student <i>t</i>	t
		Normal	Z

DEFINITION: Assuming the null hypothesis is true, a *P*-value (or probability value) of a hypothesis is the probability of obtaining a sample statistic with a value as extreme or more extreme than the one determined from the sample data.

- If the alternative hypothesis contains the less-than inequality symbol (<), the hypothesis test is a **left-tailed test**, i.e. *P* is the area of the standard normal curve to the left of *z*.
- If the alternative hypothesis contains the greater-than inequality symbol (>), the hypothesis test is a **right-tailed test.,** i.e. *P* is the area of the standard normal curve to the right of *z*.
- If the alternative hypothesis contains the not-equal-to symbol (), the hypothesis test is **two-tailed test.** In a two-tailed test, each tail has an area of .

#### **Possible Questions**

#### PART-B

- 1. Describe the properties of Point Estimation in detail.
- 2. In order to introduce some incentive for higher balance in savings accounts, a random sample of size 64 savings accounts in a branch was studied to estimate the average monthly balance in saving bank accounts. The mean and standard deviation were found to be Rs, 8500 and Rs. 2000 respectively. Find (i) 90% (ii) 95% (iii) 99% confidence interval for the population mean. (Use  $\alpha$  :  $Z_{(0,10)} = 1.645$ ,  $Z_{(0,05)} = 1.96$  and  $Z_{(0,01)} = 2.575$ )
- 3. Describe the different methods of estimating the parameters in Point Estimation.
- 4. One of the properties of a good quality paper is its bursting strength. Suppose a sample of 16 specimens' yields mean bursting strength of 25 units, and it is known from the history of such tests that the standard deviation among specimens is 5 units, assuming normality of test results, what are the (i) 95% and (ii) 98% confidence limits for the mean bursting strength from this sample? Use  $\alpha$  :Z<sub>(0.05)</sub> = 1.96 and Z<sub>(0.08)</sub> = 2.327)
- 5. Write the different factors affecting the width of a confidence interval.
- 6. One of the properties of a good quality paper is its bursting strength. Suppose a sample of 16 specimens' yields mean bursting strength of 25 units, and it is known from the history of such tests that the standard deviation among specimens is 5 units, assuming normality of test results, what are the (i) 95% and (ii) 98% confidence limits for the mean bursting strength from this sample? Use  $\alpha$  :Z<sub>(0.05)</sub> = 1.96 and Z<sub>(0.08)</sub> = 2.327)

#### PART-C

- 7. In order to introduce some incentive for higher balance in savings accounts, a random sample of size 64 savings accounts in a branch was studied to estimate the average monthly balance in saving bank accounts. The mean and standard deviation were found to be Rs, 8500 and Rs. 2000 respectively.
  - Find (i) 90%
    - (ii) 95%
    - (iii) 99% confidence interval for the population mean.
  - (Use  $\alpha$  :  $Z_{(0.10)}$  = 1.645,  $Z_{(0.05)}$ = 1.96 and  $Z_{(0.01)}$  = 2.575)

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

## MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS (17MMP304)

Question	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Answer
UNIT-IV					
The process of making estimates about the	Statistical	Statistical	Statistical	Statistical	Statistical
population parameter from a sample is called:	independence	inference	hypothesis	decision	inference
Statistical inference has namely two branches,they are	Level of confidence and degrees of freedom	Biased estimator and unbiased estimator	Point estimator and unbiased estimator	Estimation of parameter and testing of hypothesis	Estimation of parameter and testing of hypothesis
Estimation is possible only in case of a	Parameter	Universe	Random sample	Population	Random sample
The numerical value which we determine from the sample for population parameter is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Estimator	Confidence coefficient	Estimate
Estimation is of two types.They are	One sided and two sided	Type I and Type II	Point eastimation and interval estimation	Biased and unbiased	Point eastimation and interval estimation
A formula or rule used for estimating the parameter is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Estimate	Interval estimate	Estimator
A single value used to estimate a population values is called:	Interval estimate	Point estimate	Level of confidence	Degrees of freedom	Point estimate
A value of an estimator is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Variable	Constant	Estimate
Standard error is the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of an:	Estimate	Estimation	Estimator	Error of estimation	Estimator
An estimator is a random variable because it	Population to	Population to	Sample to	Sample to	Sample to
varies from:	sample	population	sample	population	sample
If T is the estimator of parameter t, then T is called unbiased if	E(T)>t	E(T) <t< td=""><td>E(T) not equal to t</td><td>E(T)=t</td><td>E(T)=t</td></t<>	E(T) not equal to t	E(T)=t	E(T)=t
Estimates given in the form of confidence	Point estimates	Interval	Confidence	Degree of	Interval
intervals are called		estimates	limits	freedom	estimates

Interval estimate is associated with:	Probability	Non-probability	Range of values	Number of Parameters	Range of values
Range or set of values which have chances to contain value of population parameter with particular confidence level is considered as	Secondary interval estimation	Confidence interval estimate	Population interval estimate	Sample interval estimate	Confidence interval estimate
Sample means are:	Point estimates of sample means	estimates of population	Interval estimates of sample means	Point estimates of population means	Point estimates of population means
The process of making estimates about the population parameter from a sample is called:	Statistical independence	Statistical inference	Statistical hypothesis	Statistical decision	Statistical inference
Statistical inference has namely two branches,they are	Level of confidence and degrees of freedom	Biased estimator and unbiased estimator	Point estimator and unbiased estimator	Estimation of parameter and testing of hypothesis	Estimation of parameter and testing of hypothesis
Estimation is possible only in case of a	Parameter	Universe	Random sample	Population	Random sample
The numerical value which we determine from the sample for population parameter is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Estimator	Confidence coefficient	Estimate
Estimation is of two types.They are	One sided and two sided	Type I and Type II	Point eastimation and interval estimation	Biased and unbiased	Point eastimation and interval estimation
A formula or rule used for estimating the parameter is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Estimate	Interval estimate	Estimator
A single value used to estimate a population values is called:	Interval estimate	Point estimate	Level of confidence	Degrees of freedom	Point estimate
A value of an estimator is called:	Estimation	Estimate	Variable	Constant	Estimate
Standard error is the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of an:	Estimate	Estimation	Estimator	Error of estimation	Estimator
An estimator is a random variable because it varies from:	Population to sample	Population to population	Sample to sample	Sample to population	Sample to sample
If T is the estimator of parameter t, then T is called unbiased if	E(T)>t	E(T) <t< td=""><td>E(T) not equal to t</td><td>E(T)=t</td><td>E(T)=t</td></t<>	E(T) not equal to t	E(T)=t	E(T)=t

Estimates given in the form of confidence intervals are called	Point estimates	Interval estimates	Confidence limits	Degree of freedom	Interval estimates
Interval estimate is associated with:	Probability	Non-probability	Range of values	Number of Parameters	Range of values
Method in which sample statistic is used to estimate value of parameters of population is classified as	Estimation	Valuation	Probability calculation	Limited theorem estimation	Estimation
Range or set of values which have chances to contain value of population parameter with particular confidence level is considered as	Secondary interval estimation	Confidence interval estimate	Population interval estimate	Sample interval estimate	Confidence interval estimate
Upper and lower boundaries of interval of confidence are classified as	Error biased limits	Marginal limits	Estimate limits	Confidence limits	Confidence limits
Criteria of selecting point estimator must includes information of	Consistency	Biasedness	Inefficiency	Population	Consistency
Considering sample statistic, if mean of sampling distribution is equal to population mean then sample statistic is classified as	Unbiased estimator	Biased estimator	Interval estimation	Hypothesis estimator	Unbiased estimator
Which of the following is an estimate of the variability of estimates of the mean in different samples?	Standard error of the mean	Average	Variance	Standard deviation	Standard error of the mean
If point estimate is 8 and margin of error is 5 then confidence interval is	3 to 13	4 to 14	5 to 15	6 to 16	3 to 13
To develop interval estimate of any parameter of population, value which is added or subtracted from point estimate is classified as	Margin of efficiency	Margin of consistency	Margin of biasedness	Margin of error	Margin of error
In confidence interval estimation, confidence efficient is denoted by	1 + β	1 - β	1 - α	1 + α	1 - α
In confidence interval estimation, interval estimate is also classified as	Confidence efficient	Confidence deviation	Confidence mean	Marginal coefficient	Confidence efficient

Value of any sample statistic which is used to estimate parameters of population is classified as	Point estimate	Population estimate	Sample estimate	Parameter estimate	Point estimate
Distance between true value of population parameter and estimated value of population parameter is called	Error of central limit	Error of confidence interval	Error of estimation	Error of hypothesis testing	Error of estimation
In confidence interval estimation, formula of	Point estimate *	Point estimate ±	Point estimate -	Point estimate +	Point estimate ±
calculating confidence interval is	margin of error	margin of error	margin of error	margin of error	margin of error
Difference between value of parameter of population and value of unbiased estimator point is classified as	Sampling error	Marginal error	Confidence error	Population error	Sampling error
Considering sample statistic, if sample statistic mean is not equal to population parameter then sample statistic is considered as	Unbiased estimator	Biased estimator	Interval estimation	Hypothesis estimator	Biased estimator
If true value of population parameter is 10 and					
estimated value of population parameter is 15 then	5	25	0.667	150	5
error of estimation is					
A confidence interval will be widened if:	The confidence level is increased and the sample size is reduced	The confidence level is increased and the sample size is increased	The confidence level is decreased and the sample size is decreased	The confidence level is decreased and the sample size is increased	The confidence level is increased and the sample size is reduced
A 95% confidence interval for the mean of a population is such that:	It contains 95% of the values in the population	There is a 95% chance that it contains all the values in the population.	There is a 95% chance that it contains the standard deviation of the population	There is a 95% chance that it contains the mean of population	There is a 95% chance that it contains the mean of population
If a standard error of a statistic is less than that of another then what is the former is said to be	efficient	unbiased	consistent	sufficient	efficient
are the values that mark the boundaries of the confidence interval.	Confidence intervals	Confidence limits	Levels of confidence	Margin of error	Confidence limits

When S is used to estimate $\sigma$ , the margin of error is computed by using	normal distribution	t distribution	sample mean	population mean	t distribution
For the interval estimation of $\mu$ when $\sigma$ is known and the sample is large, the proper distribution to use is	with n +1 degrees of freedom	t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom	t distribution with n degrees of freedom	normal distribution	normal distribution



# KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University) (Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956) Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

# **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester III Class : II M.Sc Mathematics

LTPC 4004

## UNIT V

Analysis of Variance: One way classification and two-way classification. Hypotheses Testing: Poser functions -OC function-Most Powerful test -Uniformly most powerful test -unbiased test.

## SUGGESTED READINGS

#### **TEXT BOOK**

1.Marek Fisz, (1980). Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Meyer, (2006). Introduction to Probability and Statistical applications, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.Pvt Ltd. New Delhi.
- 2. Sheldon M. Ross, (2009). Introduction to probability and statistics for engineers and scientists, Third edition, Academic press.
- 3. Heinz Bauer, (1996), Probality Theory, Narosa Publishing House, London.
- 4. Parimal Mukhopadhyay, (2012). Theory of Probability, New central book agency, Calcutta.
#### Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

#### I. Introduction

In Regression, the decomposition of the total sum of squares (SST) into the "explained" sum of squares (SSR) and the "unexplained" sum of squares (SSE) took place in the Analysis of Variance or ANOVA table. However, ANOVA also refers to a statistical technique used to test for differences between the means for several populations. While the procedure is related to regression, in ANOVA the independent variable(s) are qualitative rather than quantitative. In both regression and ANOVA the dependent variable is quantitative.

**Example 1:** As city manager, one of your responsibilities is purchasing. The city is looking to buy lightbulbs for the city's streetlights. Aware that some brands' lightbulbs might outlive other brands' lightbulbs, you decide to conduct an experiment. Seven lightbulbs each are purchased from four brands (GE, Dot, West, and a generic) and placed in streetlights. The lifetime of each of the 28 lightbulbs is then recorded in the file "**Lightbulbs**."

In this example, the lifetime of a lightbulb, in thousands of hours, is the quantitative dependent variable of interest. The company marketing the lightbulb, i.e., the brand-name, is the qualitative independent variable. The variable "brand name" has four possible values (or four "levels" in the terminology of ANOVA). The letter "k" will be used for the number of "levels" of the independent variable or "factor". Here, k = 4 for the four brands being tested. We say, "the factor *brand-name* has four levels: GE, Dot, West, and generic."

The "populations" referred to in these notes are simply the different levels of the factor. So that, in this example, we are interested in whether the mean lifetimes for the four populations of lightbulbs differ. Since we cannot know with certainty, however, the true mean lifetime of all lightbulbs carrying a certain brand-name, we rely upon statistics to determine if the differences observed *between* samples drawn from the four brands are statistically significant. (Non-significant differences are those that can plausibly be attributed to chance, i.e., sample-to-sample, variation alone.)

#### II. The (one-way) ANOVA Model

In order to perform tests of statistical significance, a model is assumed. The model used in ANOVA is similar in many respects to the model employed in regression. In fact, You may find it useful in these notes to make analogies between the model and formulas in ANOVA and the the corresponding model and formulas in regression. In the model below, recall that the dependent (or **response**) variable is quantitative as in regression, but the independent (or **factor**) variable is now qualitative. We begin with a model in which a single independent variable is used to describe the dependent variable. This **One-Way** ANOVA is analogous to simple regression. The one-way analysis of variance model is

#### $\mathbf{Y} = \square_{\square i} + \square \square$ , where

• Y is the quantitative dependent variable, usually called the response variable in ANOVA

- $\square_{0i}$  is the true mean value of the dependent variable for the i<sup>th</sup> population, where there are *k* populations.
- I is the random error in the response not attributable to the independent variable. As in regression, the error is assumed to be normally distributed with constant variance.

#### III. Terminolgy

Although regression and analysis of variance are closely related, historically they developed separately. As a result they each adopted their own terminolgy. Unfortunately, this often means that similar things are referred to differently in the two procedures. Below is a list of some of the names used in ANOVA and what they refer to.

- Response: the dependent variable
- Factor(s): the independent variable(s)
- Levels: the possible values of a factor
- Treatments: another name for levels in one-way ANOVA, but there will be a distinction between levels and treatments when we discuss two-way ANOVA later. The term treatments derives from medicine, where the different treatments were the drugs or procedures being tested on patients, and agriculture, where the treatments were the different fertilizers or pesticides being tested on crops.
- The D<sub>i</sub> are called the "factor-level means" or the "treatment means" in one-way ANOVA and represent the true mean value of the response variable for the i<sup>th</sup> population of treatments.

**Example 1 (continued):** For the lightbulb problem,

- the response is the lifetime of a particular lightbulb (in thousands of hours)
- the factor is the brand-name
- there are four levels or treatments: GE, Dot, West, and generic
- $\Box_{\text{OGE}}$  is the mean lifetime of all GE bulbs,  $\Box_{\text{ODot}}$  is the mean lifetime of all Dot bulbs, etc.

## IV. Hypothesis Test

As usual, we rely on a hypothesis test to determine if the sample means for the k samples drawn (one from each population) differ enough for the difference to be statistically significant (more than would likely occur due to random chance alone).

**Example 1 (continued):** It is important that the student understand why probability is important here. It is not unusual for one manufacturer to source a product marketed under many brand-names. For example, there are only a handfull of companies manufacturing denim jeans, but there are dozens of brand-name jeans available to the consumer. Similarly, not all lightbulbs are manufactured by the companies marketing them. It is not inconceivable, therefore, that all four brands of lightbulbs being tested by the city come off of the same assembly line. Yet, when tested, they would still yield four *different* sample means simply because of sample-to-sample variation. As city manager, you might be more than a little embarassed to discover that the brand that you've touted as superior to all others is actually different in name only! Lawsuits have been lost for far less.

#### Hypotheses:

- **H**<sub>0</sub>:, i.e., all population means are equal. This is equivalent to saying that the *k* treatments have no differential effect upon the value of the response.
- **H**<sub>A</sub>: At least two of the means differ. This says that different treatments produce different values of the response variable, on average.

#### **Test Statistic:**

# **F** = , where *MSR* = the <u>Mean Square</u> for <u>Treatments</u>, and *MSE* = the <u>Mean Square</u> for <u>Error</u>

Note: What I'm calling *MSR* is often called *MST* in the literature. I've chosen to continue the use of *MSR* to highlight the similarity between regression and analysis of variance. MSE remains the same for both regression and analysis of variance. Formulas for the mean squares are given later in the notes.

#### Logic:

The analysis of variance uses the ratio of two *variances*, *MSR* and *MSE*, to determine whether population *means* differ; hence the name "analysis of variance." Recall that one of the assumptions of the model is that the variance  $\mathbb{D}^0$  is the same for all populations. *MSE* provides an unbiased estimate of  $\mathbb{D}^0$  in ANOVA just as it does in regression (see regression notes). If the population means are all equal, which is the null hypothesis, it can be shown that *MSR also* provides an unbiased estimate of  $\mathbb{D}^0$ . If all of the population means are equal, therefore, we would expect **F** to be nearly equal to **1** since *MSR* and *MSE* should yield similar estimates of the variance  $\mathbb{D}^0$ .

If some population means differ from others, however, *MSR* will tend to be bigger than *MSE* resulting in an  $\mathbf{F}$  - **Ratio** substantially larger than **1**. Thus we reject  $\mathbf{H}_0$  for large values of  $\mathbf{F}$ , just as in regression.

#### V. The ANOVA Table: Sums of Squares and Degrees of Freedom

#### V.A. Introduction

At the heart of any analysis of variance is the ANOVA Table. The formulas for the sums of squares in ANOVA are simplified if the *k* samples are all of the same size  $n_s$ . In the interests of simplicity, therefore, the following discussion assumes that all *k* samples contain the same number of observations  $n_s$ .

#### B. *Notation*

- The index i represents the  $i^{th}$  population or treatment, where i ranges from 1 to *k*
- The index j represents the j<sup>th</sup> observation within a sample, where j ranges from 1 to  $n_s$

- *n* is the total number of observations from all samples
- $y_{ij}$  is the value of the j<sup>th</sup> observation in the i<sup>th</sup> sample
- is the mean of the i<sup>th</sup> sample
- (read "y double-bar") is the mean of all *n* observations, , or the mean of the sample means (hence the "double-bar" in the name),

#### C. Sums of Squares

**<u>Sum of Squares for Treatments</u>**, is the "Between Group" variation, where the *k* "groups" or populations are represented by their sample means. If the sample means differ substantially then SST will be large.

**<u>Sum of Squares for Error,</u>** is the "Within Group" variation and represents the random or sample-to-sample variation

**Total Sum of Squares,** is the total variation in the values of the response variables over all *k* samples. (Note: SST is the same as in regression)

#### D. **Degrees of Freedom**

Degrees of freedom for treatments,  $df_{SSR} = k-1$ . Rather than memorizing this formula, just imagine the number of dummy variables that you would have to create to conduct the equivalent analysis in regression. Since you always leave one possibility out in regression, you would need to create k - 1 dummy variables. Since the resulting regression model would have k - 1 independent variables, SSR (SST here) would have k - 1 degrees of freedom.

Degrees of freedom for error,  $df_{SSE} = n - k$ .

Total degrees of freedom,  $df_{SST} = n - 1$ . This is the same result obtained in regression.

Note: The two component degrees of freedom sum to the total degrees of freedom, just as in regression.

#### E. Mean Squares

<u>Mean Square for Treatments</u>, is equivalent to MSR in regression <u>Mean Square for Error</u>, is the same as MSE in regression. As in regression, MSE is an unbiased estimator of the common population variance  $\mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{I}}$ .

#### F. *F* – *Ratio*

#### G. Summary

The ANOVA Table below summarizes some of the information in this section
--

into the full final state of the state of th									
Source	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F-Ratio	P-Value				
Between groups	SSR	k - 1	MSR = SSR/(k-1)	F = MSR/MSE					
Within groups	SSE	n - k	MSE = SSE/(n-k)						
Total (Corr.)	SST	n - 1							

ANOVA Table for One-Way Analysis of Variance

#### VI. Using Statgraphics

To perform a one-way analysis of variance in Statgraphics, follow <u>*Compare > Analysis of Variance > One-Way ANOVA* and enter the response and factor into the dependent variable and factor fields, respectively.</u>

**Example 1 (continued):** For the lightbulb problem, the spreadsheet might look like the one below. Notice that the qualitative factor Brand doesn't need to be numeric. Statgraphics will treat the factor in ANOVA as qualitative, so there is no need to recode it as a numeric variable. For the same reason there is no need to create dummy variables as in regression.

STATG	RAPHICS Plus - Ur	ntitled StatFolio - [L	ights.sf3]								
	lit <u>P</u> lot <u>D</u> escribe	Lompare <u>R</u> elate Sp	pecial S <u>n</u> apStats!!	<u>V</u> iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	)				_ 0 2		
i 🖻 🗖 🚺											
	Hours	Brand	Col_3	Col_4	Col_5	Col_6	Col_7	Col_8	Col_9 _		
1	2.29	GE									
2	2.5	GE									
3	2.5	GE									
4	2.6	GE									
5	2.19	GE									
6	2.29	GE									
7	1.98	GE									
8	1.92	Dot									
9	1.92	Dot									
10	2.24	Dot									
11	1.92	Dot									
12	1.84	Dot									
13	2	Dot									
14	2.16	Dot									
15	1.69	West									
16	1.92	West									
17	1.84	West									
18	1.92	West									
19	1.69	West									
20	1.61	West									
21	1.84	West									
22	2.22	generic									
23	2.01	generic									
24	2.11	generic									
25	2.06	generic									
26	2.19	generic									
27	1.94	generic									
28	2.17	generic									

This leads to the ANOVA Table below. Looking at the *P*-value for the *F*-test, we conclude that there is strong evidence that at least two of the mean lifetimes differ.

Once the city manager has detected a difference in mean lifetimes, he/she would naturally wish to determine which brand's lightbulbs are superior. Statgraphics has a graphical option called a "Means Plot" which graphs 95% confidence intervals for the mean lifetimes of the four brands. If the 95% confidence intervals for two brands don't overlap then the city manager may conclude, at the 5% level of significance, that the true mean lifetimes for the two brands differ. If, on the other hand, the intervals *do* overlap the manager cannot draw a statistically significant conlusion at the 5% level of significance. (Remember, it's quite possible that the two brands' bulbs come off of the same assembly line, so don't try to force conclusions that can't be supported statistically!)

Below is the *Means Plot*. There is clearly evidence, at the 5% level of significance, that the GE bulbs last longer, on average, than bulbs from the other brands. Similarly, there is evidence, at the 5% level, that the West bulbs fail sooner, on average, than bulbs from the other brands. The sample differences between the Dot and generic bulbs, however, may be due to chance alone. (We don't actually *know* that Dot and generic bulbs are interchangeable, but the sample doesn't provide strong enough evidence to discount the possibility.)



## Means and 95.0 Percent LSD Intervals

#### VII. Two-Way ANOVA

When the effects of two qualitative factors upon a quantitative response variable are investigated, the procedure is called two-way ANOVA. Although a model exists for two-way analysis of variance, similar to the multiple regression model, it will not be covered in this class. Neither will we cover the details of the ANOVA Table. Nevertheless, there are some new considerations in two-way ANOVA stemming from the presence of the second factor in the model.

**Example 2:** The EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) tests public bodies of water for the presence of *coliform* bacteria. Aside from being potentially harmful to people in its own right, this bacteria tend to proliferate in polluted water, making the presence of *coliform* bacteria a surrogate for polution. Water samples are collected off public beaches, and the number of *coliform* bacterial per cc is determined. (See the file "**Bacteria**."

The EPA is interested in determining the factors that affect *coliform* bacterial formation in a particular county. The county has beaches adjacent to the ocean, a bay, and a sound. The EPA beleives that the amount of "flushing" a beach gets may affect the ability of polution to accumulate in the waters off the beach. The EPA also believes that the geographical location of the beach may be significant. (There could be several reasons for this: the climate may be different in different parts of the county, or the land-use may vary across the county, etc.)

As luck would have it, there is at least one beach for each combination of type (ocean, bay, sound) and location (west, central, east) within the county. Because of this, the EPA decides to sample a beach at each of the 9 possible combinations of type and location and conduct a two-way analysis of variance for *coliform* bacterial count. Two independent samples are taken at each beach to allow for an estimation of the natural variation in *coliform* bacterial count (this "repetition" is needed for the computation of MSE, which estimates the sample-to-sample variance in bacterial counts).

#### VIII. Two-Way ANOVA Using Statgraphics

To perform a two-way analysis of variance in Statgraphics, follow <u>*Compare > Analysis of Variance > Multifactor ANOVA* and enter the response and factors into the dependent variable and factor fields, respectively.</u>

**Example 2 (continued):** Since data from such a study often appears in the form of a two-way table, with one factor as the row variable, the second as the column variable, and the observations as values in the row-by-column cells, it is important to remember that each variable must have its own column in the spreadsheet as in the example below. (This may require that you re-format the original spreadsheet prior to beginning the analysis.)

STATG	RAPHICS Plus - Ui	ntitled StatFolio - [(	Coliform Bacteria.sf	3]					- O ×		
<u>File</u> <u>E</u>	dit <u>P</u> lot <u>D</u> escribe	<u>C</u> ompare <u>R</u> elate <u>S</u>	pecial S <u>n</u> apStats!! )	<u>V</u> iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	)				그리>		
🔒 🗖	e = = :: XN& 2 2										
	Bacteria	Туре	Location	Col_4	Col_5	Col_6	Col_7	Col_8	Col_9 🗳		
1	25	Ocean	West								
2	20	Ocean	West								
3	9	Ocean	Central								
4	6	Ocean	Central								
5	3	Ocean	East								
6	6	Ocean	East								
7	32	Bay	West								
8	39	Bay	West								
9	18	Bay	Central								
10	24	Bay	Central								
11	9	Bay	East								
12	13	Bay	East								
13	27	Sound	West								
14	30	Sound	West								
15	16	Sound	Central								
16	21	Sound	Central								
17	5	Sound	East								
10	7	Qound	Fact								

The default ANOVA Table below has separate rows for the factors Type (called factor A) and Location (called factor B). A test of the significance of each factor is performed and the corresponding p-value displayed. It appears that both the type of beach and its location affect *coliform* bacterial count.

But does the effect of the beach type on bacteria count depend upon its location within the county? If the particular pairings of factor levels are important, the factors are said to "interact."

Before interpreting the results in the ANOVA table above, we should consider the role that interaction plays. If the effect of beach type on bacteria formation depends on the location of the beach then it is better to investigate the *combinations* of the levels of the factors type and location for their affect on bacteria. It will come as no surprise to you that there is a hypothesis test for interactions.

**H**<sub>0</sub>**:** The factors Type and Location do *not* interact. **H**<sub>A</sub>**:** The factors Typ and Location *do* interact

To check for interaction, use the right mouse button and <u>Analysis Options</u> and enter "2" for the *Maximum Order Interaction*. The resulting output for our example below shows a *P*-value of 0.3047 for the test for interactions. Thus the evidence for interaction is not particularly strong. The practical effect of discounting interaction is that we are able to return to the previous output (the one without interactions) and interpret the *P*-values for the factors Type and Location separately. Since the *P*-values for both factors are significant, we conclude that factors affect bacteria growth.

Having determined that the type of beach and the beach's location are both significant, we next investigate the nature of the relationship between these factors and bacteria count. Once again we turn to the means plots under *Graphical Options*. Statgraphics dfaults to a means plot for the factor Type because this was the first factor entered in the *Input Dialog Box*. To get a means plot for the factor Location, use *Pane Options* to select it. The two means plots appear below.



Individually, these means plots are interpreted as in one-way ANOVA. There is evidence, at the 5% level of significance, that the mean bacteria count at ocean beaches is less than for other types, and that the mean count is highest at bay beaches. Similarly, the mean count is lowest in the east and greatest in the west, with all differences being statistically significant at the 5% level of significance. Furthermore, *because interactions were judged not-significant*, we can add the main effects together and say that the least polluted beaches tend to be located in the east on the ocean, while the most polluted tend to be in the west on bays. We could not have added the separate (or main) effects in this way if there had been significant interact, for in that case the effect upon bacteria count at a particular type of beach (ocean, for example) may be very different locations.

**Example 3:** The last two examples are based on a marketing study. A new apple juice product was entering the marketplace. It had three distinct advantages relative to existing apple juices. First, it was not a concentrate and was therefore considered to be of higher "quality" than many similar products. Second, as one of the first juices packaged in cartons, it was cheaper than competing products. Third, partly because of the packaging, it was more convenient. The director of marketing for the company would like to know which advantage should be emphasized in advertisements. The director would also like to know whether local television or newspapers are better for sales.

Consequently, six cities with similar demographics are chosen, and a different combination of "Marketing Strategy " and "Media" is tried in each. The unit sales of apple juice for the ten weeks immediately following the start of the ad campaigns are recorded for each city in the file **Apple Juice (two-way)**. The two-way table below describes the city assignments for the six possible combinations of levels for the two factors. Below the assignment table is the ANOVA Table for interactions.

	Convenience	Quality	Price
Local Television	City 1	City 3	City 5
Newspaper	City 2	City 4	City 6

Interactions are not significant to the model (p-value equals 0.9171), a fact which is reinforced by looking at the *Interaction Plot* under *Graphical Options*. Note that the two curves are almost parallel, a sign that interactions are not significant.



Removing interactions, we obtain the ANOVA Table below, from which we conclude that the marketing strategy is significant, but the media used probably isn't. Since only marketing strategy apppears to affect sales, we'll restrict ourselves to the means plot for the factor Strategy below. Only the difference in mean sales when emphasizing quality versus emphasizing convenience is statistically significant at the 5% level of significance.



**Example 4:** This is just the apple juice problem revisited (see file "**Apple Juice – Remix**"). By a judicious rearrangement of sales figures, I've created a marketing study in which interactions are significant. (See the two-way table below for the new assignments.) The comparison of the interaction plots for this example and example 3 should help to clarify the role of interactions in the interpretation of ANOVA output. The small *P*-value of 0.0474 for the hypothesis test of interactions implies that certain combinations of marketing strategy and media are important to sales.

	Convenience	Quality	Price
Local Television	City 1	City 2	City 3
Newspaper	City 4	City 5	City 6

Looking at he interaction plot, notice that emphasizing convenience lead to both the lowest and highest mean sales, depending upon whether local television or newspapers were used. Thus, it wouldn't make sense to talk about the effect of emphasizing convenience without consideration of the media used, i.e., we should only interpret levels of the two factors taken together (the combinations). Therefore, we will not investigate the means plots for Strategy and Media. From the interaction plot, it appears that the most effective campaign would emphasize convenience in newspapers. The least effective combination is to emphasize convenience on local television. (Note: Since the interaction plot doesn't display confidence intervals for the six possible combinations, we cannot attach a particular significance level to our conclusions as we could with the means plots.)



#### **Power Functions**

**DEFINITION:** A **power function** is a function of the form where *k* and *p* are constants.

**EXAMPLE 1:** Which of the following functions are power functions? For each power function, state the value of the constants k and p in the formula .

#### a. b. c.

SOLUTIONS:

- a. The function is not a power function because we cannot write it in the form .
- **b.**The function is a power function because we can rewrite its formula as . So and .
- *c.* The function is not a power function because the power is not constant. In fact, is an exponential function.

As is the case with linear functions and exponential functions, given two points on the graph of a power function, we can find the function's formula.

**EXAMPLE 2:** Suppose that the points and are on the graph of a function f. Find an algebraic rule for f assuming that it is a power function.

SOLUTION:

Since f is a power function we know that its rule has form . We can use the two given points to find two equations involving k and p:

We can use the first equation to immediately find k.

Now we can find p by substituting into the second equation:

Thus, if f is a power function, its rule is .

#### **Graphs of Power Functions**

For a power function the greater the power of p, the faster the outputs grow. Below are the graphs of six power functions. Notice that as the power increases, the outputs increase more and more quickly. As x increases without bound (written ""), higher powers of x get a lot larger than (i.e., *dominate*) lower powers of x. (Note that we are discussing the **long-term** behavior of the function.)



The graphs of .

As x approaches zero, the story is completely different. If x is between 0 and 1, is larger than, which is larger than. (Try to confirm this). For values of x near zero, *smaller* powers dominate. On the graph below, notice how on the interval the linear power function dominates power functions of larger power.







Operating Characteristic Curve

An operating characteristic (OC) curve is a chart that displays the probability of acceptance versus percentage of defective items (or lots). With no defects, we'll surely have 100% acceptance! But, take a look at 0.05 (5% defective).



#### **Most Powerful Test**

In statistical hypothesis testing, a uniformly most powerful (UMP) test is a **hypothesis test** which has the greatest power among all possible tests of a given size  $\alpha$ . For example, according to the Neyman–Pearson lemma, the **likelihood-ratio test** is UMP for testing simple (point) hypotheses

In Most Powerful test both the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis is composite (the null hypothesis is composite because can take on any positive value). There is no UMP test because the z-test for known is more powerful than the t-test for unknown.

## **Unbiased Test**

In **statistical** hypothesis **testing**, a **test** is said to be **unbiased** if for some alpha level (between 0 and 1), the probability the null is rejected is less than or equal to the alpha level for the entire parameter space defined by the null hypothesis, whilst the probability the null is rejected is greater than or equals.

#### Possible Questions PART-B

1. Three processes A, B and C are tested to see whether their outputs are equivalent. The following observations of outputs are made:

А	10	12	13	11	10	14	15	13
В	9	11	10	12		13	3	
С	11	10	15	14	12		13	

Given, table value of F for (2,16) d.f at 5% level of significance is 3.63. Carry out the analysis of variance and state your conclusion.

- 2. Explain one-way classification in ANOVA.
- 3. What are the criterions for a uniformly most powerful test?
- 4. Describe power functions and OC functions
- 5. Three processes A, B and C are tested to see whether their outputs are equivalent. The following observations of outputs are made:

А	10	12	13	11	10	14	15 13
В	9	11	10	12		13	3
С	11	10	15	14	12		13

Given, table value of F for (2,16) d.f at 5% level of significance is 3.63. Carry out the analysis of variance and state your conclusion.

6. Describe power functions and OC functions

#### PART-C

1. Suppose the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) wants to examine the safety of compact cars, midsize cars, and full-size cars. It collects a sample of three for each of the treatments (cars types). Using the hypothetical data provided below, test whether the mean pressure applied to the driver's head during a crash test is equal for each types of car. Use = 5%.

	<b>Compact cars</b>	Midsize cars	Full-size cars
	643	469	484
	655	427	456
	702	525	402
	666.67	473.67	447.33
S	31.18	49.17	41.68

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS**

#### MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS (17MMP304)

Question	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Answer
UNIT-V					
The square of the S.D is	Variance	Coefficient of variation	Square of variance	Square of coefficient of variation	Variance
Analysis of variance is a statistical method of comparing the of several populations.	Standard deviations	Means	Variances	Proportions	Means
The analysis of variance is a statistical test that is used to compare how many group means?	Three	More than three	Three or more	Two or more	Two or more
Analysis of variance utilizes:	F-test	Chi-Square test	Z-test	t-test	F-test
What is two-way ANOVA?	An ANOVA with two variables and one factor	An ANOVA with one variable and two factors	An ANOVA with one variable and three factors	An ANOVA with both categorical and scale variables	An ANOVA with one variable and two factors
Which of the following is the correct F ratio in the one-way ANOVA?	MSA/MSE	MSBL/MSE	MST/MSE	MSE/MST	MST/MSE
For validity of F-test in Anova, parent population should be	Binomial	Poisson	Normal	Exponential	Normal
sum of squares measures the variability of the observed values around their respective tabulated values	Treatment	Error	Interaction	Total	Error
The sum of squares measures the variability of the sample treatment means around the overall mean.	Total	Treatment	Error	Interaction	Treatment

If the true means of the <i>k</i> populations are equal, then MST/MSE should be:	more than 1.00	Close to 1.00	Close to -1.00	A negative value between 0 and - 1	Close to 1.00
If MSE of ANOVA for six treatment groups is known, you can compute	Degree of freedom	The standard deviation of each treatment group	Variance	The pooled standard deviation	The pooled standard deviation
To determine whether the test statistic of ANOVA is statistically significant,to determine critical value we need	Sample size, number of groups	Mean, sample standard deviation	Expected frequency, obtained frequency	MSTR, MSE	Sample size, number of groups
Which of the following is an assumption of one- way ANOVA comparing samples from 3 or more experimental treatments?	Variables follow F- distribution	Variables follow normal distribution	Samples are dependent each other	Variables have different variances	Variables follow normal distribution
The error deviations within the SSE statistic measure distances:	Within groups	Between groups	Between each value and the grand mean	Betweeen samples	Within groups
In one-way ANOVA, which of the following is used within the <i>F</i> -ratio as a measurement of the variance of individual observations?	SSTR	MSTR	SSE	MSE	SSE
When conducting a one-way ANOVA, the the between-treatment variability is when compared to the within-treatment variability	More random larger	Smalller	Larger	More random smaller	Smaller
When conducting a one-way ANOVA, the value of <i>F</i> DATA will be tend to be	More random larger	Smalller	More random smaller	Larger	Smaller
When conducting an ANOVA, <i>F</i> DATA will always fall within what range?	Between negative infinity and infinity	Between 0 and 1	Between 0 and infinity	Between 1 and infinity	Between 0 and infinity
If F DATA = 5, the result is statistically significant	Always	Sometimes	Never	Is impossible	Sometimes
significant	Always	Sometimes	Never	Is impossible	Never

When comparing three treatments in a one-way ANOVA ,the alternate hypothesis is	All three treatments have different effect on the mean response.	Exactly two of the three treatments have the same effect on the mean response.	At least two treatments are different from each other in terms of their effect on the mean response	All the treatments have same effect	At least two treatments are different from each other in terms of their effect on the mean response
If the sample means for each of <i>k</i> treatment groups were identical,the observed value of the ANOVA test statistic?	1	0	A value between 0.0 and 1.0	A negative value	0
If the null hypothesis is rejected, the probability of obtaining a $F$ - ratio > the value in the $F$ table as the 95th % is:	0.5	>0.5	<0.5	1	<0.5
ANOVA was used to test the outcomes of three drug treatments. Each drug was given to 20 individuals. If MSE =16, What is the standard deviation for all 60 individuals sampled for this study?	6.928	48	16	4	4
Analysis of variance technique originated in the field of	Agriculture	Industry	Biology	Genetics	Agriculture
With 90, 35, 25 as TSS, SSR and SSC , in case of two way classification, SSE is	50	40	30	20	30
Variation between classes or variation due to different basis of classification is commonly known as	Treatments	Total sum of squares	Sum of squares	Sum of squares due to error	Treatments
The total variation in observations in Anova is classified as:	Treatments and inherent variation	SSE and SST	MSE and MST	TSS and SSE	Treatments and inherent variation
In Anova, variance ratio is given by	MST/MSE	MSE/MST	SSE/SST	TSS/SSE	MST/MSE
Degree of freedom for TSS is	N-1	k-1	h-1	(k-1)(h-1)	N-1
For Anova, MST stands for	Mean sum of squares of treatment	Mean sum of squares of varieties	Mean sum of squares of tables	Mean sum of sources of treatment	Mean sum of squares of treatment

An ANOVA procedure is applied to data of 4 samples,where each sample contains 10 observations.Then degree of freedom for critical value of F are	4 numerator and 9 denominator	3 numerator and 40 denominator	3 numerator and 36 denominator	4 numerator and 10 denominator	3 numerator and 36 denominator
The power function of a test is denoted by	M(w,Q)	M(Q,Qo)	P(w,Q)	P(w,Qo)	M(w,Q)
Sum of power function and operation characteristic is	Unity	Zero	two	Negative	Unity
Operation characteristic is denoted by	L(w,Q)	M(w,Q)	L(w,Qo)	M(w,Qo)	L(w,Q)
Operation characteristic is also known as	Test characteristic	Power function	best characteristic	unique characteristic	Test characteristic
The formula to find OC is L(w,Q)=	1-Power Function	2xPower Function	Power Funtion -1	2xConfidance Interval	1-Power Function
Operation Characteristic is of a test is related to	Power Function	Best Test	Unique Test	Uniformally Best Test	Power Function
If the Hypothesis is correct the operation charectristics will be	1	0	-1	0.5	1
If the Hypothesis is wrong the operation charectristics will be	0	1	0.5	0.333333	0
In which test we verify a null hypothesis against any other definite alternate hypothesis?	Best Test	Unique Test	Uniformally Best Test	Unbiased Test	Best Test
A Best Test is a Test such that the critical region for which attains least value for a given $\alpha$ .	Beta	1-Beta	Alpha	1-Alpha	1-Beta
A Test whose power funtion attains its mean at point Q = Qo is called Test	Unique	Unbiased	Power	Operation Characteristic	Unique
A Best Unique Test exist	Always	Never	Sometimes	When Q not = to Qo	Sometimes
Operation Characteristic is related to	Power Function	Unique Test	Best Test	Uniformally Best Test	Power Function

Power is the ability to detect:	A statistically significant effect where one exists	A psychologically important effect where one exists	Both (a) and (b) above	Design flaws	A statistically significant effect where one exists
to error and the experimental manipulation is	Calculating the	Partitioning the	Producing the	Summarizing	Partitioning the
called:	variance	Variance	variance	the variance	Variance
ANOVA is useful for:	Teasing out the individual effects of factors on an Independent Variables	from research with more than one Independent Variable and one Dependent <u>Variable</u>	Analyzing correlational data	Individual effects of factors on an Dependent Variables	from research with more than one Independent Variable and one Dependent Variable
What is the definition of a simple effect?	The effect of one variable on another	The difference between two conditions of one Independent Variable at one level of another Independent Variable	The easiest way to get a significant result	Difference between two Dependent Variables	The difference between two conditions of one Independent Variable at one level of another Independent Variable
In a study with gender as the manipulated variable, the Independent Variable is:	Within participants	Correlational	Between participants	Regressional	Between participants
Which of the following statements are true of experiments?	The Independent Variable is manipulated by the experimenter	The Dependent Variable is assumed to be dependent upon the IV	They are difficult to conduct	both (a) and (b)	both (a) and (b)
All other things being equal, repeated-measures designs:	Have exactly the same power as independent designs	Are often less powerful than independent designs	Are often more powerful than independent designs	Are rarely less powerful when compare to than independent designs	Are often more powerful than independent designs

Professor P. Nutt is examining the differences between the scores of three groups of participants. If the groups show homogeneity of variance, this means that the variances for the groups:	Are similar	Are dissimilar	Are exactly the same	Are enormously different	Are similar
Differences between groups, which result from our experimental manipulation, are called:	Individual differences	Treatment effects	Experiment error	Within- participants effects	Treatment effects
Herr Hazelnuss is thinking about whether he should use a related or unrelated design for one of his studies. As usual, there are advantages and disadvantages to both. He has four conditions. If, in a related design, he uses 10 participants, how many would he need for an unrelated design?	40	20	10	100	40
Individual differences within each group of participants are called:	Treatment effects	Between- participants error	Within- participants error	Individual biases	Within-participants error
to error and the experimental manipulation is	Calculating the variance	Partitioning the variance	Producing the variance	Summarizing the variance	Partitioning the variance
The decision on how many factors to keep is decided on:	Statistical criteria	Theoretical criteria	Both (a) and (b)	Neither (a) nor (b)	Both (a) and (b)
It is possible to extract:	As many factors as variables	More factors than variables	More variables than factors	Correlation between the actual and predicted variables	As many factors as variables
Four groups have the following means on the covariate: 35, 42, 28, 65. What is the grand mean?	43.5	42.5	56.7	58.9	42.5
You can perform ANCOVA on:	Two groups	Three groups	Four groups	All of the above	All of the above
When carrying out a pretestposttest study, researchers often wish to:	Partial out the effect of the dependent variable	Partial out the effect of the pretest	Reduce the correlation between the pretest and posttest scores	Correlation between the two tests scores	Partial out the effect of the pretest

	The pretest	The pretest	The postfest		
Using difference scores in a pretestposttest	scores are not normally	scores are normally	scores are normally	Up normal relationship with	The pretest scores are normally
for the following reason:	correlated with the posttest	correlated with the different	correlated with the different	the different scores	correlated with the different scores
	scores	scores	scores		
Experimental designs are characterized by:	Two conditions	No control condition	allocation of participants to conditions	More than two conditions	Random allocation of participants to conditions
Between-participants designs can be:	Either quasi- experimental or experimental	Only experimental	Only quasi- experimental	Only correlational	Either quasi- experimental or experimental
A continuous variable can be described as:	Able to take only certain discrete values within a range of scores	Able to take any value within a range of scores	Being made up of categories	Being made up of variables	Able to take any value within a range of scores
In a within-participants design with two conditions, if you do not use counterbalancing of the conditions then your study is likely to suffer from:	Order effects	Effects of time of day	Lack of participants	Effects of participants	Order effects
Demand effects are possible confounding variables where:	Participants behave in the way they think the experimenter wants them to behave	Participants perform poorly because they are tired or bored	Participants perform well because they have practiced the experimental task	Participants perform strongly	Participants behave in the way they think the experimenter wants them to behave
Power can be calculated by a knowledge of:	The statistical test, the type of design and the effect size	The statistical test, the criterion significance level and the effect size	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the type of design	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the sample size	The criterion significance level, the effect size and the sample size
Relative to large effect sizes, small effect sizes are:	Easier to detect	Harder to detect	As easy to detect	As difficult to detect	As difficult to detect

Differences between groups, which result from our experimental manipulation, are called:	Individual differences	Treatment effects	Experiment error	Within- participants effects	Treatment effects
--	---------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------

## **Seminar Topics**

2017 Batch

## KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University)

(Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu



## **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester III Class : II M.Sc Mathematics LTPC 4004

Sl. No.	Roll No.	Name of the Student	Seminar Topic
1	17MMP001	Arjunan.G	Contribution of Statistical Tests in Insurance Industry
2	17MMP002	Dhanushgodi.P	Application of Statistical Concepts in Food Processing Industry
3	17MMP003	Gopi.C	A recent development of Student t –distribution in Hospitality
4	17MMP004	Gowthamankumar.P	Application of t-distribution In Telecommunication
5	17MMP005	Jamuna.R	Applications of Kolmogorow and Smirnov test
6	17MMP006	Juliet Patrica.V	ANOVA for examine the relationship between Security and Safety for Human Development
7	17MMP007	Kiruthika.S	A review of most powerful test in decision making process
8	17MMP008	Krishnamoorthy.M	Application of Chi-Square test in Psychology
9	17MMP009	Manjula .N	Estimation Theory and Information Technology
10	17MMP010	Masilamani.V	Application of ANOVA in medical research
11	17MMP011	Mohana Priya.R	Bayes theorem and its contribution to hospital industry
12	17MMP012	Mursetha Zeerinbanu.A	Consistency and limitation of paired t-test and Wilcoxon test
13	17MMP013	Nagaraj.M	A study on Normalization of data for decision making
14	17MMP015	Pavithra.R	Contribution of F-distribution in agricultural industry
15	17MMP016	Pramila.A	Application of Chi-Square test in postal / telecommunication services
16	17MMP017	Priya.M	Application of Mann Whitney U - test for different sample size
17	17MMP018	Punitha.A	How one way ANOVA is used as decision making tool in industry
18	17MMP019	Rebekkal.A	A study on how statistical tests are used in government sector
19	17MMP020	Roselin Angel.J	A study on how statistical tests are used in Defense Department
20	17MMP021	Sabarinath.K	Application of Chi-Square test in manufacturing industry.

21	17MMP022	Sanjeevi.S	OC curve as decision making tool in production industry
22	17MMP023	Selvadurai.M	Application of statistical tests test in educational institutions
23	17MMP024	Sindhumathi.K	How two way ANOVA is used as decision making tool in industry
24	17MMP025	Sugadevan.S	Application of OC curve in production process as quality control measure
25	17MMP026	Susmitha.N	A study on sufficient estimator and its applications
26	17MMP027	Venkatesh.M	Application of Chi-Square test in banking sector
27	17MMP028	Vinetha.M	A study on acceptance sampling plans and its applications
28	17MMP029	Vishnu Sabari Rooba.G	A study on consistent estimator and its applications

Signature of the Course Faculty



## KARPAGAM ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(Deemed to be University)

(Established under Section 3 of UGC Act, 1956)

Pollachi Main Road, Coimbatore – 641 021, Tamil Nadu

## **Department of Mathematics**

Subject : Mathematical Statistics Subject Code : 17MMP304 Semester IIIL T P CClass : II M.Sc Mathematics4 0 0 4

#### **Glossary of Statistical Terms**

2 X 5 factorial	A factorial design with one variable having two levels and the other
design	having five levels.
Alpha	The probability of a Type I error.
Abscissa	Horizontal axis.
Additive law of	The rule giving the probability of the occurrence of one or more mutually
probability	exclusive events.
Adjacent values	Actual data points that are no more extreme than the inner fences.
Alternative	The hypothesis that is adopted when $H_0$ is rejected. Usually the same as
hypothesis (H <sub>1</sub> )	the research hypothesis.
Analysis of	A statistical technique for testing for differences in the means of several
variance	A statistical technique for testing for uniferences in the means of several
(ANOVA)	groups.
Analytic view	Definition of probability in terms of analysis of possible outcomes.
ß (Beta)	The probability of a Type II error.
Categorical data	Data representing counts or number of observations in each category.
Coll	The combination of a particular row and column <the observations<="" of="" set="" td=""></the>
Cell	obtained under identical treatment conditions.
Central limit	The theorem that specifies the nature of the sampling distribution of the
theorem	mean.
Chi-square test	A statistical test often used for analyzing categorical data.
Conditional	The probability of one event given the occurrence of some other event
probability	The probability of one event given the occurrence of some other event.
Confidence	An interval, with limits at either end, with a specified probability of
interval	including the parameter being estimated.
Confidence	An interval, with limits at either end, with a specified probability of
limits	including the parameter being estimated.
Constant	A number that does not change in value in a given situation.
Contingency	A twodimensional table in which each observation is classified on the
table	basis of two variables simultaneously.
Continuous	Variables that take on any value
variables	Valiables that take on uny value.
Correlation	Relationship between variables.
Correlation	A manager of the relationship between variables
coefficient	A measure of the relationship between variables.
Count data	Data representing counts or number of observations in each category.
Covariance	A statistic representing the degree to which two variables vary together.

Criterion variable	The variable to be predicted.
Critical value	The value of a test statistic at or beyond which we will reject H0 .
Decision making	A procedure for making logical decisions on the basis of sample data.
Degrees of freedom ( <i>df</i> )	The number of independent pieces of information remaining after estimating one or more parameters.
Density	Height of the curve for a given value of X- closely related to the probability of an observation in an interval around X.
Dependent variables	The variable being measured. The data or score.
$df_{ m error}$	Degrees of freedom associated with $SS_{error} = k(n - 1)$ .
$df_{ m group}$	Degrees of freedom associated with $SS_{group} = k - 1$ .
$df_{\text{total}}$	Degrees of freedom associated with $SS_{total} = N - 1$ .
Dichotomous variables	Variables that can take on only two different values.
Directional test	A test that rejects extreme outcomes in only one specified tail of the distribution.
Discrete variables	Variables that take on a small set of possible values.
Dispersion	The degree to which individual data points are distributed around the mean.
Distribution free	Statistical tests that do not rely on parameter estimation or precise
tests	distributional assumptions.
Effect size	The difference between two population means divided by the standard deviation of either population.
Efficiency	The degree to which repeated values for a statistic cluster around the parameter.
Error variance	The square of the standard error of estimate.
Event	The outcome of a trial.
Exhaustive	A set of events that represents all possible outcomes.
Expected value	The average value calculated for a statistic over an infinite number of samples.
Expected frequencies	The expected value for the number of observations in a cell if $H_0$ is true.
Experimental hypothesis	Another name for the research hypothesis.
Exploratory data analysis (EDA)	A set of techniques developed by Tukey for presenting data in visually meaningful ways.
External Validity	The ability to generalize the results from this experiment to a larger population.
Frequency	A distribution in which the values of the dependent variable are tabled or
distribution	plotted against their frequency of occurrence.
Frequency data	Data representing counts or number of observations in each category.
Friedman's rank	
test for <i>k</i>	A nonparametric test analogous to a standard one-way repeated measures
correlated	analysis of variance.
samples	
Goodness of fit	A test for comparing observed frequencies with theoretically predicted

test	frequencies.
Grand total (IIX)	The sum of all of the observations.
Heterogeneity	A situation in which samples are drawn from populations having different
of variance	variances.
Hypothesis	A process by which decisions are made concerning the values of
testing	parameters.
Independent	These veriables controlled by the experimentar
variables	Those variables controlled by the experimenter.
Independent	Events are independent when the occurrence of one has no effect on the
events	probability of the occurrence of the other.
Interaction	A situation in a factorial design in which the effects of one independent
Interaction	variable depend upon the level of another independent variable.
Intercept	The value of Y when X is 0.
Interval scale	Scale on which equal intervals between objects represent equal differences
	<differences are="" meaningful.<="" p=""></differences>
Interval estimate	A range of values estimated to include the parameter.
Joint probability	The probability of the co-occurrence of two or more events.
Kruskal Wallis	
one-way	A nonparametric test analogous to a standard one-way analysis of
analysis of	variance.
variance	
Kurtosis	A measure of the peakedness of a distribution.
Leading digits	
(most	Left-most digits of a number
significant	Lett most digits of a number.
digits)	
Least significant	A technique in which we run <i>t</i> tests between pairs of means only if the
difference test	analysis of variance was significant.
Leptokurtic	A distribution that has relatively more scores in the center and in the tails.
Linear	A situation in which the best-fitting regression line is a straight line.
relationship	
Linear .	Regression in which the relationship is linear.
regression	
Mann-Whitney	A nonparametric test for comparing the central tendency of two
test	independent samples.
Marginal totals	I otals for the levels of one variable summed across the levels of the other
	Variable.
Matched	An experimental design in which the same subject is observed under more
Samples Moon abcoluto	
deviation	Mean of the absolute deviations about the mean
(m a d)	
(III.d.u.)	The sum of the scores divided by the number of scores
Mongurament	The assignment of numbers to objects
Moscurement	
data	Data obtained by measuring objects or events.
udid Monsures of	
contral tendency	Numerical values referring to the center of the distribution.
Median location	The location of the median in an ordered series
	דווב וטכמנוטוו טו נווב ווובעומוו ווו מוו טועבובע זבוובז.

Median (Med)	The score corresponding to the point having 50% of the observations
	below it when observations are arranged in numerical order.
Mesokurtic	A distribution with a neutral degree of kurtosis.
Midpoints	Center of interval average of upper and lower limits.
Mode (Mo)	The most commonly occurring score.
Monotonic	A relationship represented by a regression line that is continually
relationship	increasing (or decreasing), but perhaps not in a straight line.
MS <sub>between groups</sub> (MS <sub>group</sub> )	Variability among group means.
MS <sub>within</sub> (MS <sub>error</sub> )	Variability among subjects in the same treatment group.
Multiplicative	The rule giving the probability of the joint accumunce of independent
law of	menue giving the probability of the joint occurrence of independent
probability	events.
Mutually	Two events are mutually exclusive when the occurrence of one precludes
exclusive	the occurrence of the other.
Negative	A relationship in which increases in one variable are associated with
relationship	decreases in the other.
Negatively	A distribution that trails off to the left
skewed	
Nominal scale	Numbers used only to distinguish among objects.
Nonparametric	Statistical tests that do not rely on parameter estimation or precise
tests	distributional assumptions.
normal	A specific distribution having a characteristic hell-shaped form
distribution	
Null hypothesis	The statistical hypothesis tested by the statistical procedure. Usually a
(H <sub>0</sub> )	hypothesis of no difference or no relationship.
One-tailed test	A test that rejects extreme outcomes in only one specified tail of the distribution.
One-way	An analysis of variance where the groups are defined on only one
ANOVĂ	independent variable.
Ordinal scale	Numbers used only to place objects in order.
Ordinate	Vertical axis.
Outlier	An extreme point that stands out from the rest of the distribution.
	The probability that a particular result would occur by chance if $H_0$ is true.
<i>p</i> level	The exact probability of a Type I error.
Parameters	Numerical values summarizing population data.
Daramatria tosta	Statistical tests that involve assumptions about, or estimation of,
Parametric tests	population parameters.
Pearson	
product-moment	The most common correlation coefficient
correlation	
coefficient ( <i>r</i> )	
Percentile	The point below which a specified percentage of the observations fall.
DL:	The correlation coefficient when both of the variables are measured as
PIII	dichotomies.
Platykurtic	A distribution that is relatively thick in the "shoulders."
Point estimate	The specific value taken as the estimate of a parameter.
Pooled variance	A weighted average of the separate sample variances.
Population	Variance of the population (usually estimated, rarely computed.

variance	
Population	Complete set of events in which you are interested.
Positively	A distribution that trails off to the right.
skewed	
Power	The probability of correctly rejecting a false $H_0$ .
Predictor variable	The variable from which a prediction is made.
Protected <i>t</i>	A technique in which we run <i>t</i> tests between pairs of means only if the analysis of variance was significant.
Quantitative data	Data obtained by measuring objects or events.
Random sample	A sample in which each member of the population has an equal chance of inclusion.
Random Assignment	Assigning participants to groups or cells on a random basis.
Range	The distance from the lowest to the highest score.
Range restrictions	Refers to cases in which the range over which X or Y varies is artificially limited.
Ranked data	Data for which the observations have been replaced by their numerical ranks from lowest to highest.
Rank randomization tests	A class of nonparametric tests based on the theoretical distribution of randomly assigned ranks.
Ratio scale	A scale with a true zero point ratios are meaningful.
Real lower limit	The points halfway between the top of one interval and the bottom of the next.
Real upper limit	The points halfway between the top of one interval and the bottom of the next.
Rectangular distribution	A distribution in which all outcomes are equally likely.
Regression	The prediction of one variable from knowledge of one or more other variables.
Regression equation	The equation that predicts Y from X.
Regression coefficients	The general name given to the slope and the intercept <most just="" often="" refers="" slope.<="" td="" the="" to=""></most>
Rejection region	The set of outcomes of an experiment that will lead to rejection of $H_0$ .
Rejection level	The probability with which we are willing to reject H0 when it is in fact correct.
Related samples	An experimental design in which the same subject is observed under more than one treatment.
Relative frequency view	Definition of probability in terms of past performance.
Research hypothesis	The hypothesis that the experiment was designed to investigate.
Sample	Set of actual observations. Subset of the population.
Sample statistics	Statistics calculated from a sample and used primarily to describe the sample.
Sample variance	Sum of the squared deviations about the mean divided by <i>N</i> - 1.

(s <sup>2</sup> )	
Sample with	Sampling in which the item drawn on trial <i>N</i> is replaced before the
replacement	drawing on trial $N + 1$ .
Sampling	
distribution of	The distribution of the differences between means over repeated sampling
differences	from the same population(s).
between means	
Sampling	
distribution of	The distribution of sample means over repeated sampling from one
the mean	population.
Sampling	The distribution of a statistic over repeated sampling from a specified
distributions	population.
Sampling error	Variability of a statistic from sample to sample due to chance.
Scales of	
measurement	Characteristics of relations among numbers assigned to objects.
Scattor plot	A figure in which the individual data points are plotted in two-dimensional
Seatter plot	space.
Scatter diagram	A figure in which the individual data points are plotted in two-dimensional
	space.
Scattergram	A figure in which the individual data points are plotted in two-dimensional
Scattergram	space.
Sigma	Symbol indicating summation.
Significance	The probability with which we are willing to reject $H_0$ when it is in fact
level	correct.
Simple effect	The effect of one independent variable at one level of another independent variable.
Skewness	A measure of the degree to which a distribution is asymmetrical.
Slope	The amount of change in Y for a one unit change in X.
Spearman's	
correlation	
coefficient for	A correlation coefficient on ranked data.
ranked data $(r_{\rm s})$	
SS <sub>collc</sub>	The sum of squares assessing differences among cell totals.
SScens	The sum of the squared residuals
SSerror	The sum of the sume of equares within each group
<b>J J B e rror</b>	The sum of squares of group totals divided by the number of scores per
SS <sub>group</sub>	The sum of squares of group totals divided by the number of scores per group minus $\mathbb{I}\mathbf{Y}^2/\mathbb{N}$
22	group minus $\ X/N$ .
SS <sub>total</sub>	The sum of the encourt desistions
55Y	
Standard	Square root of the variance.
deviation	
Standard error	The standard deviation of a sampling distribution.
Standard error	The standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the differences
of differences	between means.
between means	
Standard error	The average of the squared deviations about the regression line.
ot estimate	
Standard coores	Scores with a predetermined mean and standard deviation

Standard normal	A normal distribution with a mean equal to 0 and variance equal to 1.
distribution	Denoted <i>N</i> (0, 1).
Statistics	Numerical values summarizing sample data.
Student's t	The sampling distribution of the <i>t</i> statistic.
distribution	
Subjective	Definition of probability in terms of personal subjective belief in the
probability	likelihood of an outcome.
Sufficient	A statistic that uses all of the information in a sample
statistic	
Sums of squares	The sum of the squared deviations around some point (usually a mean or
C	I Jesing the same share on both sides of the same
Symmetric	Having the same snape on both sides of the center.
T scores	A set of scores with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10.
Test statistics	The results of a statistical test.
Two-Tailed test	A test that rejects extreme outcomes in either tail of the distribution.
Type I error	The error of rejecting $H_0$ when it is true.
Type II error	The error of not rejecting $H_0$ when it is false.
Unbiased	A statistic whose expected value is equal to the parameter to be estimated
estimator	
Unconditional	The probability of one event <i>ignoring</i> the occurrence or nonoccurrence of
probability	some other event.
Unimodal	A distribution having one distinct peak.
Variables	Properties of objects that can take on different values.
Weighted	The mean of the form: $(a_1X_1 + a_2X_2)/(a_1 + a_2)$ where $a_1$ and $a_2$ are
average	weighting factors and $X_1$ and $X_2$ are the values to be average.
Wilcoxon's	A nonparametric test for comparing the contral tendency of two matched
matched-pairs	(rolated) camples
signed ranks test	
z score	Number of standard deviations above or below the mean.

#### Reg. No -----

(17MMP304)
Karpagam Academy of Higher Education COIMBATORE-21 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS Third Semester First Internal Test – August 2018 Mathematical Statistics Date : .08.2018 ( ) Class : II-M.Sc Mathematics Time: 2 Hours Maximum: 50 Marks
PART - A (20 x 1 =20 Marks)
Answer All the Questions
1. When tossing a coin, the probability of getting tail is (a) 1/2 (b) 1/3 (c) 0 (d) 1
<ul> <li>2. The probability of drawing a Queen from a pack of cards is</li> <li>(a) 1/4</li> <li>(b) 1/12</li> <li>(c) 1/11</li> <li>(d) 1/13</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3. A variable whose value is a number determined by the outcome of a random experiment is called a</li> <li>(a) Sample</li> <li>(b) Random variable</li> <li>(c) Outcome</li> <li>(d) Event</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4. If a random variable takes only a finite or a countable number of values is called</li> <li>(a) Finite random space</li> <li>(b) Continuous random variable</li> <li>(c) Discrete random variable</li> <li>(d) Infinite random variable</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>5. The term Statistic refers to the statistical measures relating to the</li> <li>(a) Population</li> <li>(b) Hypothesis</li> <li>(c) Sample</li> <li>(d) Parameter</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6. A good way to get a small standard error is to use a</li> <li>(a) Small Population</li> <li>(b) Small sample</li> <li>(c) Large Sample</li> <li>(d) Large Population</li> </ul>

7. Larger group from which the sample is drawn is called \_\_\_\_\_ (a) Statistic (b) Sampling (c) Universe (d) Parameter

- 8. If the sample size is greater than 30, then the sample is called \_\_\_\_\_ (a) Small (b) Large (c) Population (d) Normal
- 9. Rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true leads to \_\_\_\_\_ (a) Type I error (b) Type II error (c) Standard error (d) Correct decision

10. Degree of freedom for statistic chi-square incase of contingency table of order 2 x 2 is \_\_\_\_

(a) 4 (c) 2 (d) 0 (b) 1

- 11. Level of significance is the probability of .....
- b) Type II error a) Type I error
- c) Standard error d) No Error
- 12. Degrees of freedom are related to (a) No. of observations in a set (b) Hypothesis under test (c) No. of independent observations in a set (d) No. of rows of observations
- 13. Small sample test is also known as (a) Z-test (b) t-test (c) Uniform test (d) Normal test
- 14. Z test is applicable only when the sample size is ..... (a) Twenty (b) Twenty Five (c) Small (d) Large

15. The formula for  $\chi^2$  is (a)  $\Sigma/E$ ] (b) Σ/ E (d)  $\sum (O + E)/E$ (c)  $\Sigma (O - E) / E$ 

16. The distribution used to test goodness of fit is (a) Chi square (b) F (c) t (d) Binomial

17. The distribution of means of all possible samples taken from a population is

- (a) A sampling distribution (b) A sample (c) Population distribution (d) Parameter distribution
- 18. F-distribution was devised by------(a) R.A.Fischer (b) Snedecor

(c) Spearmen (d) Karl Pearson

- 19. The Z value at 5% level of significance for two tailed test is (a) 2.56 (b) 1.96 (c) 3.95 (d) 0.96
- 20. Which of the following is a non-parametric test (a) Chi square (b) F (c) t (d) Z

#### **PART-B (3 x 2 =6 Marks)**

#### **Answer All the Questions**

- 21. Describe Parameter and Statistic.
- 22. Define Type I and Type II Errors.
- 23. Write the difference between parametric and non-parametric tests.

#### **PART-C (3 x 8 = 24 Marks)**

#### **Answer All the Questions**

24. (a) Explain the functions of Random variable by an example.

#### (OR)

- (b) Write a short note about the following terms:
  - i. Conditional Probability
  - ii. Bayes' Theorem
  - iii. Null and Alternate Hypothesis
  - iv. Confidence Interval and Confidence Limits
- 25. (a) State the properties and applications of *t* distribution?

## (OR)

(b) Describe Normal Distribution and its properties.

- 26. a) Certain pesticide is packed into bags by a machine. Random samples of 10 bags are drawn and their contents are found to weigh (in kg) as follows.
  50 49 52 44 45 48 46 45 49 45
  - Test if the average packing can be taken to be 50 kg.
    - (OR)

b) A company manufacturing electric light bulbs claims that the average life of its bulbs is 1600 hours. The average life and standard deviation of a random sample of 100 such bulbs were 1570 hours and 120 hours respectively. Should we accept the claim of the company?